

freedom

Anarchist Weekly 4d

NOVEMBER 26 1966 Vol 27 No 37

Indecency in Church—by Whom?



Tom El Greco: Jim Radford Driving the Traders from the Methodist Temple

By a correspondent

THE nine people who were arrested in the Brighton church demonstration on October 2—Nicolas Walter, Susan Abrahams, Derek Russell, Bernard Miles, Heather Russell, Andy Anderson, Meg Walsh, Jim Radford, and Faith Barron—were held in custody by the Brighton police for a night, and were then remanded in custody for seven days by the Brighton magistrates on October 3. The five men were taken to Lewes Prison, and the four women to Holloway. They were released after two or three days when Mr. Benedict Birnberg, a solicitor instructed by the National Council for Civil Liberties, made successful applications for bail to a Judge in Chambers on

other in 'riotous, violent or indecent behaviour' in the church. Originally all the demonstrators except Sue Abrahams had been charged with riotous behaviour in the church, and four of them were also charged with other minor offences. The new charge was brought so late that the morning of October 31 was taken up with procedural arguments and adjournments, and in the afternoon it was found that the charge was technically inadmissible anyway—it was 'bad for duplicity', because it included more than one distinct offence. At first the police wanted to change the wording to 'riotous, violent and indecent behaviour', but after realising the difficulty of proving all that, they settled for just 'indecent behaviour'. Then

Against Tyranny

DURING the last week in October five militant anarchists were arrested in Madrid and accused by the Spanish police of intending to kidnap the American Ambassador to Madrid, Mr. Biddle Duke (FREEDOM, 12.11.66), so as to awaken world opinion to the farce of the 'Trade Union elections' at present taking place in Spain and to bring pressure to bear on the Spanish authorities for the release of political prisoners.

A group of 'Libertarian Youth', to which the five militants belong, had already carried out an operation of the same kind in kidnapping in Rome, last April, Monsignor Ussia, ecclesiastic counsellor to the Spanish Embassy in the Vatican.

Following the arrest of Luis Edo (38), Antonio Canete (51), Jesus Rodriguez (32), Alfredo Herrera (26), and Alicia Mur (28), the Peninsular Committee of the FIJL gave the following details:—

'1. Our comrades' mission was to carry out an action which would tend to show the falsity of the "liberalisation" of the Franco regime, and to continue the campaign, started in 1964, for the liberation of all political prisoners in our country, which campaign our organisation continues.

'2. We ratify all that the "Group 1st of May" affirms in its communiqué giving the lie to the declarations of the Franco police (see attached).

'3. The Peninsular Committee of the FIJL, appeals urgently to all organisations, groups, and individual anti-fascists of the world to co-

ordinate protests solidarity, as swiftly as to prevent the from committing a

Spain, November After three days at the Security H five militants are kept in the cells of Justice in Madrid, a of the Tribunal of (We are told that th Carabanchel Jail, M woman comrade in of Ventas, Madrid.)

Luis Edo, form Jeunesse Libertaire secretary of the CN had already, last Ma press conferences denouncing the farce sation' of the regim called 'Elections sy Since the 28th Octo on hunger strike an is giving rise to anxie

A Defence Comm up in Paris with a cer vide a legal defer should be sent to C CCP, 13 536 34 Paris

Also, a co-ordin has been set up i donations should Gurucharri, S. — Bruxelles.

(Comrades in Br note: Money canno (giro) through o Please address dona Fournier, c/o Free will transmit.)

The Group '1st declares that the ab

simply gave evidence that the church was a church and the service was a service (in cross-examination he said that war was 'un-Christian' too, which may explain his unexpectedly sympathetic attitude); and Albert McCabe, a *Daily Express* photographer, and Douglas Eatwell, a *Sun* photographer, who simply gave evidence that they had taken the photographs used in the case.

The civilian witnesses were: James Moody, the senior steward, who was in the main body of the church and the corridors; Donald Lain, a house-father in a children's home, who was in the church gallery; William Page, a steward in charge of the relay system for the over-flow hall, who was in the corridors;

there might really b church. Of the 20 d demonstrated during t way or another, only to the police station, detained without be between five and nine then refused bail (t allowed visitors). On they were taken to the and the magistrates a application for a rema for photographs and taken, quite regardless demonstrators said. O the police said they that the demonstrators offence, although they



Tom El Greco: Jim Radford Driving the Traders from the Methodist Temple

By a correspondent

THE nine people who were arrested in the Brighton church demonstration on October 2—Nicolas Walter, Susan Abrahams, Derek Russell, Bernard Miles, Heather Russell, Andy Anderson, Meg Walsh, Jim Radford, and Faith Barron—were held in custody by the Brighton police for a night, and were then remanded in custody for seven days by the Brighton magistrates on October 3. The five men were taken to Lewes Prison, and the four women to Holloway. They were released after two or three days when Mr. Benedict Birnberg, a solicitor instructed by the National Council for Civil Liberties, made successful applications for bail to a Judge in Chambers on October 5 and 6. Faith Barron then travelled to the United States. At the next court hearing, on October 10, the other eight were remanded (on bail this time) for three weeks, because the police had referred the case to the Director of Public Prosecutions in the hope of bringing charges of conspiracy.

On October 27, the Director of Public Prosecutions told the police not to bring such charges; but just before the next court hearing, on October 31, the police brought another charge—that the demonstrators had been concerned with each

other in 'riotous, violent or indecent behaviour' in the church. Originally all the demonstrators except Sue Abrahams had been charged with riotous behaviour in the church, and four of them were also charged with other minor offences. The new charge was brought so late that the morning of October 31 was taken up with procedural arguments and adjournments, and in the afternoon it was found that the charge was technically inadmissible anyway—it was 'bad for duplicity', because it included more than one distinct offence. At first the police wanted to change the wording to 'riotous, violent and indecent behaviour', but after realising the difficulty of proving all that, they settled for just 'indecent behaviour'. Then all the demonstrators except Nicolas Walter and Jim Radford refused to be tried on more than this joint charge; so that Nicolas Walter would be tried for riotous behaviour in the church and threatening behaviour outside the church on his own and for indecent behaviour in the church with the others, Jim Radford would be tried for riotous behaviour in the church on his own and for indecent behaviour in the church with the others, and Susan Abrahams, Derek Russell, Bernard Miles, Heather Russell, Andy Anderson, and Meg Walsh would be tried for indecent behaviour in the church with the others (the other charges should technically be heard afterwards in fresh trials). Derek Russell, Bernard Miles, Heather Russell, and Meg Walsh were represented by Ben Birnberg; Nicolas Walter, Susan Abrahams, Andy Anderson, and Jim Radford defended themselves.

The trial finally began at 2.30 p.m. on October 31, and continued on November 7 (all day), November 14 (all day), November 15 (morning), and November 16 (morning). It will continue on November 21 (all day) and November 22 (all day). The prosecution originally intended to bring 17 witnesses, but four dropped out on the way. There were three formal witnesses, six civilians, and four policemen.

The formal witnesses were: Leslie Newman, the minister of the Dorset Gardens Methodist Church, where the demonstration took place, who had refused to prosecute and now refused to give evidence against the demonstrators because this would be 'un-Christian', and

(38), Antonio Canete (51), Jesus Rodriguez (32), Alfredo Herrera (26), and Alicia Mur (28), the Peninsular Committee of the FIJL gave the following details:—

'1. Our comrades' mission was to carry out an action which would tend to show the falsity of the "liberalisation" of the Franco regime, and to continue the campaign, started in 1964, for the liberation of all political prisoners in our country, which campaign our organisation continues.

'2. We ratify all that the "Group 1st of May" affirms in its communiqué giving the lie to the declarations of the Franco police (see attached).

'3. The Peninsular Committee of the FIJL, appeals urgently to all organisations, groups, and individual anti-fascists of the world to co-

simply gave evidence that the church was a church and the service was a service (in cross-examination he said that war was 'un-Christian' too, which may explain his unexpectedly sympathetic attitude); and Albert McCabe, a *Daily Express* photographer, and Douglas Eatwell, a *Sun* photographer, who simply gave evidence that they had taken the photographs used in the case.

The civilian witnesses were: James Moody, the senior steward, who was in the main body of the church and the corridors; Donald Lain, a house-father in a children's home, who was in the church gallery; William Page, a steward in charge of the relay system for the overflow hall, who was in the corridors; William Groves, a steward, who was in the aisle where most of the demonstration took place; Albert Herriott, a regular congregant, who was in the body of the church; and Margaret Savage, a teacher, who was in the choir.

The police witnesses were: Det.-Insp. Field, who was both inside and outside the church, and is the detective who made most of the inquiries in the case; Sgt. Head, who was outside the church; Det.-Sgt. Osborne, who was both inside and—as it turned out—outside the church, and is the detective who works with Field; and Sgt. Sullivan, who was inside the church.

The main features of the prosecution evidence, as of the general conduct of the prosecution case, were confusion and contradiction.

Over and over again, witnesses who had been produced by the police gave evidence which conflicted with what the police had alleged or later gave in their own evidence. It was generally agreed that very few people had been upset by the demonstration and that the demonstrators themselves had not used any violence. But the most important and interesting evidence was of course that of the police witnesses themselves. While they were in the witness box, on November 14 and 15, the court heard most of the strange story of the case.

Detectives from the Brighton police had attended the service as part of their 'normal duties'—i.e. they had been co-opted into the Special Branch while Wilson, Brown & Co. were in Brighton for the Labour Party Annual Conference. But apparently they had no idea that

denouncing the arrest (51), Jesus Rodriguez (32), Alfredo Herrera (26), and Alicia Mur (28), the Peninsular Committee of the FIJL gave the following details:—

'1. Our comrades' mission was to carry out an action which would tend to show the falsity of the "liberalisation" of the Franco regime, and to continue the campaign, started in 1964, for the liberation of all political prisoners in our country, which campaign our organisation continues.

'2. We ratify all that the "Group 1st of May" affirms in its communiqué giving the lie to the declarations of the Franco police (see attached).

'3. The Peninsular Committee of the FIJL, appeals urgently to all organisations, groups, and individual anti-fascists of the world to co-

simply gave evidence that the church was a church and the service was a service (in cross-examination he said that war was 'un-Christian' too, which may explain his unexpectedly sympathetic attitude); and Albert McCabe, a *Daily Express* photographer, and Douglas Eatwell, a *Sun* photographer, who simply gave evidence that they had taken the photographs used in the case.

there might really be a church. Of the 20 demonstrators during the way or another, only to the police station, detained without being between five and nine then refused bail (the allowed visitors). On they were taken to the and the magistrates a application for a remand for photographs and taken, quite regardless demonstrators said. On the police said they that the demonstrators offence, although they prepared to give unde would not do so. An police didn't know e demonstrators, althoug 'characters' from sor obtained answers to pe and although they ch of only one of them.

It emerged that on C as the police knew that been granted bail, Field way Prison to inter women, knowing that get bail themselves but know this yet and m inclined to co-oper manage to interrogate came to Brighton again although he described 'urgent'. When the po the applications for ba affidavit which summ allegations against the when he gave evidence out that the allegation the nine were based n seen but on what h although the affidavit seen everything himsel to apologise to the def

It also emerged that had a peculiar memo swore that he spent service inside the church the only witness who that Wilson made two to read the lesson, wi sung in between. Th plained when he sudd after being pressed in

CO's somewhere are waiting for a Letter

WAR RESISTERS INTERNATIONAL have once again compiled a list of conscientious objectors who are in prison for their beliefs in many parts of the world. The list includes prisoners in Denmark, US, Germany, Yugoslavia, Italy, France, Sweden, Greece, but not Russia, Hungary or Spain.

Individuals or groups intending to send greetings may receive the entire list from WRI, 88 Park Avenue, Enfield. (Nominal contributions to defray costs are welcome.)

WRI have been compiling this list for the past ten years. Last year an Italian war resister received 500 congratulatory messages. This year it is hoped that each man will receive many congratulatory messages.

Conti

Against Franco's Tyranny

DURING the last week in October five militant anarchists were arrested in Madrid and accused by the Spanish police of intending to kidnap the American Ambassador to Madrid, Mr. Biddle Duke (FREEDOM, 12.11.66), so as to awaken world opinion to the farce of the 'Trade Union elections' at present taking place in Spain and to bring pressure to bear on the Spanish authorities for the release of political prisoners.

A group of 'Libertarian Youth', to which the five militants belong, had already carried out an operation of the same kind in kidnapping in Rome, last April, Monsignor Ussia, ecclesiastic counsellor to the Spanish Embassy in the Vatican.

Following the arrest of Luis Edo (38), Antonio Canete (51), Jesus Rodriguez (32), Alfredo Herrera (26), and Alicia Mur (28), the Peninsular Committee of the FIJL gave the following details:—

'1. Our comrades' mission was to carry out an action which would tend to show the falsity of the "liberalisation" of the Franco regime, and to continue the campaign, started in 1964, for the liberation of all political prisoners in our country, which campaign our organisation continues.

'2. We ratify all that the "Group 1st of May" affirms in its communiqué giving the lie to the declarations of the Franco police (see attached).

'3. The Peninsular Committee of the FIJL, appeals urgently to all organisations, groups, and individual anti-fascists of the world to co-

ordinate protests and acts of solidarity, as swiftly as possible, so as to prevent the Franco regime from committing a new crime.

Spain, November 1, 1966.'

After three days of interrogation at the Security Headquarters, the five militants are at present being kept in the cells of the Palais de Justice in Madrid, at the disposition of the Tribunal of Public Order. (We are told that the men are in the Carabanchel Jail, Madrid, and the woman comrade in Women's Prison of Ventas, Madrid.)

Luis Edo, former secretary of *Jeunesse Libertaines* and present secretary of the CNT local at Paris, had already, last May, organised two press conferences in Madrid denouncing the farce of the 'liberalisation' of the regime and the so-called 'Elections syndicales libres'. **Since the 28th October he has been on hunger strike and his condition is giving rise to anxiety.**

A Defence Committee has been set up in Paris with a central fund to provide a legal defence. Donations should be sent to Clement Fournier, CCP, 13 536 34 Paris.

Also, a co-ordinating committee has been set up in Belgium and donations should be sent to: Gurucharri, S. — CCP, 2269.41 Bruxelles.

(Comrades in British Isles please note: Money cannot be sent CCP (giro) through our post office. Please address donations to Clement Fournier, c/o Freedom Press. We will transmit.)

The Group '1st of May' further declares that the abduction of Mgr.

Ussia had nothing to do with those arrested in Madrid. The handwriting of the note, which was sent to the Press and was transmitted by Agence France on November 1, can easily be verified to be the same as the one sent out by the Group at the time of Mgr. Ussia's kidnap. Therefore the boasts of Franco's police to have arrested and destroyed the Group '1st of May' are completely false. They emphasize that none of the comrades arrested in Madrid took part in the abduction of the Spanish prelate. This is confirmed by Mgr. Ussia himself who stated in *Il Tempo* (29.10.66) that, judging from the photographs of the five arrested in Madrid, none of them had participated in his abduction.

Our comrades are resolved to show that these accusations, which carry the air of usual police methods of 'throwing the book' at arrested people, are false, and they will demonstrate this at the appropriate time.

We have also received a communication from a French comrade, Jean Coulerdeau (68 rue Montgolfier, 33 Bordeaux, France), a member of the 'Anarchism and Non-violence' Group, who proposes to enter Spain as soon as the date of the trial is announced. This is meant to be a non-violent demonstration of solidarity and he wants to hear from comrades who would go with him. He says: 'The supporting action that we have to take must take into account public opinion, which was favourable to the kidnapers at the time of the abducting of Ussia. Now they are accused of banditry and terrorism!'

Our detained comrades need our help and we expect solidarity on this matter from all libertarians, whether groups, individuals or sympathetic newspapers.

EDS.

thodist Temple

ent or indecent
Originally all
t Sue Abrahams
otious behaviour
f them were also
r offences. The
so late that the
was taken up
ats and adjourn-
on it was found
ically inadmiss-
d for duplicity',
than one distinct
police wanted to
'riotous, violent
but after realis-
ing all that, they
behaviour'. Then

simply gave evidence that the church was a church and the service was a service (in cross-examination he said that war was 'un-Christian' too, which may explain his unexpectedly sympathetic attitude); and Albert McCabe, a *Daily Express* photographer, and Douglas Eatwell, a *Sun* photographer, who simply gave evidence that they had taken the photographs used in the case.

The civilian witnesses were: James Moody, the senior steward, who was in the main body of the church and the corridors; Donald Lain, a house-father in a children's home, who was in the church gallery; William Page, a steward in charge of the relay system for the over-flow hall, who was in the corridors; William Groves, a steward who was in

there might really be trouble in the church. Of the 20 or 30 people who demonstrated during the service in one way or another, only nine were taken to the police station, where they were detained without being charged for between five and nine hours, and were then refused bail (though they were allowed visitors). On the following day they were taken to the court in handcuffs, and the magistrates accepted the police application for a remand in custody and for photographs and fingerprints to be taken, quite regardless of anything the demonstrators said. One of the reasons the police said they opposed bail was that the demonstrators might repeat their offence, although they said they were prepared to give undertakings that they

Wilson shakes hands with Anarchy

ABOUT 20 supporters of the 'West Ham Anarchists' infiltrated the meeting at Poplar Civic Hall. There was no other main heckling except for when the group started, although there was a group of dockers heckling a lot. Just after Wilson began to speak, one of the group jumped up, pointed to Wilson and



Following the arrest of Luis Edo (38), Antonio Caete (51), Jesus Rodriguez (32), Alfredo Herrera (26), and Alicia Mur (28), the Peninsular Committee of the FIJL gave the following details:—

'1. Our comrades' mission was to carry out an action which would tend to show the falsity of the "liberalisation" of the Franco regime, and to continue the campaign, started in 1964, for the liberation of all political prisoners in our country, which campaign our organisation continues.

'2. We ratify all that the "Group 1st of May" affirms in its communiqué giving the lie to the declarations of the Franco police (see attached).

'3. The Peninsular Committee of the FIJL, appeals urgently to all organisations, groups, and individual anti-fascists of the world to co-

operation' of the regime and the so-called 'Elections syndicales libres'. Since the 28th October he has been on hunger strike and his condition is giving rise to anxiety.

A Defence Committee has been set up in Paris with a central fund to provide a legal defence. Donations should be sent to Clement Fournier, CCP, 13 536 34 Paris.

Also, a co-ordinating committee has been set up in Belgium and donations should be sent to: Gurucharri, S. — CCP, 2269.41 Bruxelles.

(Comrades in British Isles please note: Money cannot be sent CCP (giro) through our post office. Please address donations to Clement Fournier, c/o Freedom Press. We will transmit.)

The Group '1st of May' further declares that the abduction of Mgr.

caution from a French comrade, Jean Coulerdeau (68 rue Montgolfier, 33 Bordeaux, France), a member of the 'Anarchism and Non-violence' Group, who proposes to enter Spain as soon as the date of the trial is announced. This is meant to be a non-violent demonstration of solidarity and he wants to hear from comrades who would go with him. He says: 'The supporting action that we have to take must take into account public opinion, which was favourable to the kidnappers at the time of the abducting of Ussia. Now they are accused of banditry and terrorism!'

Our detained comrades need our help and we expect solidarity on this matter from all libertarians, whether groups, individuals or sympathetic newspapers.

EDS.

thodist Temple

ent or indecent. Originally all Sue Abrahams riotous behaviour of them were also or offences. The so late that the was taken up nts and adjourn- upon it was found ically inadmiss- ad for duplicity', than one distinct police wanted to 'riotous, violent but after realis- ing all that, they 'behaviour'. Then except Nicolas d refused to be his joint charge; ould be tried for the church and outside the church decent behaviour the others, Jim ed for riotous on his own and n the church with Abrahams, Derek Heather Russell, eg Walsh would behaviour in the the other charges ard afterwards Russell, Bernard and Meg Walsh Ben Birnberg; Abrahams, Andy adford defended

simply gave evidence that the church was a church and the service was a service (in cross-examination he said that war was 'un-Christian' too, which may explain his unexpectedly sympathetic attitude); and Albert McCabe, a *Daily Express* photographer, and Douglas Eatwell, a *Sun* photographer, who simply gave evidence that they had taken the photographs used in the case.

The civilian witnesses were: James Moody, the senior steward, who was in the main body of the church and the corridors; Donald Lain, a house-father in a children's home, who was in the church gallery; William Page, a steward in charge of the relay system for the overflow hall, who was in the corridors; William Groves, a steward, who was in the aisle where most of the demonstration took place; Albert Herriott, a regular congregant, who was in the body of the church; and Margaret Savage, a teacher, who was in the choir.

The police witnesses were: Det.-Insp. Field, who was both inside and outside the church, and is the detective who made most of the inquiries in the case; Sgt. Head, who was outside the church; Det.-Sgt. Osborne, who was both inside and—as it turned out—outside the church, and is the detective who works with Field; and Sgt. Sullivan, who was inside the church.

The main features of the prosecution evidence, as of the general conduct of the prosecution case, were confusion and contradiction.

Over and over again, witnesses who had been produced by the police gave evidence which conflicted with what the police had alleged or later gave in their own evidence. It was generally agreed that very few people had been upset by the demonstration and that the demonstrators themselves had not used any violence. But the most important and interesting evidence was of course that of the police witnesses themselves. While they were in the witness box, on November 14 and 15, the court heard most of the strange story of the case.

Detectives from the Brighton police had attended the service as part of their 'normal duties'—i.e. they had been co-opted into the Special Branch while Wilson, Brown & Co. were in Brighton for the Labour Party Annual Conference. But apparently they had no idea that

there might really be trouble in the church. Of the 20 or 30 people who demonstrated during the service in one way or another, only nine were taken to the police station, where they were detained without being charged for between five and nine hours, and were then refused bail (though they were allowed visitors). On the following day they were taken to the court in handcuffs, and the magistrates accepted the police application for a remand in custody and for photographs and fingerprints to be taken, quite regardless of anything the demonstrators said. One of the reasons the police said they opposed bail was that the demonstrators might repeat their offence, although they said they were prepared to give undertakings that they would not do so. Another was that the police didn't know enough about the demonstrators, although they had taken 'characters' from some of them—i.e. obtained answers to personal questions—and although they checked the address of only one of them.

It emerged that on October 5, as soon as the police knew that the five men had been granted bail, Field hurried to Holloway Prison to interrogate the four women, knowing that they would soon get bail themselves but that they wouldn't know this yet and might therefore be inclined to co-operate. He didn't manage to interrogate the men until they came to Brighton again, on October 10, although he described his inquiries as 'urgent'. When the police were resisting the applications for bail, Field swore an affidavit which summarised the police allegations against the demonstrators, but when he gave evidence in court it came out that the allegations against five of the nine were based not on what he had seen but on what he had been told, although the affidavit read as if he had seen everything himself; he was obliged to apologise to the defending solicitor.

It also emerged that his friend Osborne had a peculiar memory. At first he swore that he spent the whole of the service inside the church, although he was the only witness who did not remember that Wilson made two separate attempts to read the lesson, with a hymn being sung in between. This was partly explained when he suddenly remembered after being pressed in cross-examination

Wilson shakes hands with Anarchy

ABOUT 20 supporters of the 'West Ham Anarchists' infiltrated the meeting at Poplar Civic Hall. There was no other main heckling except for when the group started, although there was a group of dockers heckling a lot. Just after Wilson began to speak, one of the group jumped up, pointed to Wilson and said 'Killer of the Vietnamese'. As the heckler was gradually dragged out, anarchists all over the hall stood up. Another slogan which went down well and was reported was, 'Wilson you are a dirty fascist pig'. As these two of the group were dragged out there were shouts of McCarthy. This came from a group of anarchists and militant dockers.

Then it was the usual ructions, the bourgeois old dears tutting, etc. Some of the group stayed in at the meeting heckling, while others went outside with a few who had been out there while the meeting was on.

There were no crowds outside at the end as there had been in the beginning of the evening. So when Wilson came out the main crowd was the 'West Ham Anarchists'. To get to his wife, sitting in their car, Wilson had to go through them. There was hardly any fuzz about, funnily enough. Wilson went over to the two who had started the uproar and spoke to John Clark and Stephen Higgs, and asked them why they disagreed with his policy. They said (although amazed) that they were against all his policies and against all governments. Rather than argue he shook hands, grinning, and went into his car.

By the way it was on TV, both channels' news, in national dailies (although it was twisted in the *Daily Mail*) and front page coverage in locals. Seems funny that just over 20 people can get more coverage than 20,000 in Trafalgar Square on Easter Monday!

'WEST HAM ANARCHISTS'

Continued on page 3

BOOKS for Presents

Please order in good time. Books can be sent direct to your friends, with cards if necessary. Invoices to you.

SUGGESTED BOOKS

Qu'est-ce Que La Propriete?

Pierre-Joseph Proudhon
(paperback) 7/6

Germinal Emile Zola (Penguin) 6/-

The Sexual Revolution

Wilhelm Reich (paperback) 20/-

The Barns Experiment

The Peckham Experiment

W. David Wills 3/6

Innes H. Pearse and Lucy H. Crocker 12/6

Summerhill

A. S. Neill 30/-

Growing up Absurd Paul Goodman 21/-

The Free Family

Paul and Jean Ritter 18/-

Political Justice: Reprint of the

Essay on Property

William Godwin 6/-

Caleb Williams

William Godwin (paperback) 6/-

Reflections on Violence

Georges Sorel 30/-

The Ego and his Own

Max Stirner (paperback) 15/-

In the Struggle for Equality (the story of the Anarchist Red Cross)

B. Yelensky 17/6

Pilate's Question Alfred Reynolds 12/6

Conscription Conflict Denis Hayes 7/6

and
(of course)

Freedom Press Publications listed below

Freedom Bookshop

(Open 2 p.m.—5.30 p.m. daily;
10 a.m.—1 p.m. Thursdays;
10 a.m.—5 p.m. Saturdays).

17a MAXWELL ROAD

FULHAM SW6 Tel: REN 3736

FREEDOM PRESS PUBLICATIONS

SELECTIONS FROM 'FREEDOM'

- Vol 2 1952: Postscript to Posterity
 - Vol 3 1953: Colonialism on Trial
 - Vol 4 1954: Living on a Volcano
 - Vol 5 1955: The Immoral Moralists
 - Vol 6 1956: Oil and Troubled Waters
 - Vol 7 1957: Year One—Sputnik Era
 - Vol 8 1958: Socialism in a Wheelchair
 - Vol 9 1959: Print, Press & Public
 - Vol 10 1960: The Tragedy of Africa
 - Vol 11 1961: The People in the Street
 - Vol 12 1962: Pilkington v. Beeching
 - Vol 13 1963: Forces of Law and Order
- Each volume: paper 7/6 cloth 10/6.
The paper edition of the Selections is

Miller as Revolutionary

Dear Editors,

Having studied the bulk of Henry Miller's work in detail for the last few years in preparation for a full-length study, I disagreed vehemently with many of the points raised by Paul Potts in his assessment of Miller's talent in last week's *FREEDOM*.

I am sick and tired of critics and reviewers who waste time disputing the indisputable fact of Miller's genius, but Mr. Potts goes even farther: he doubts whether Henry Miller, musician, painter, and the most important and influential prose writer of the age, can really be called an *artist*. Of course, it is true that he doesn't wear a beard but even so.

And what is wrong with comparing him to Blake or Whitman? They are not only legitimate parallels, they are *obvious* ones. Like Blake, he is a mystic (and just how much of a mystic can be ascertained from the pages of *Time of the Assassins*), and like Whitman he is a revolutionary. I will come back to this point later.

I also quarrel with the phrase, 'Women never come to life in his work, they simply come to bed...' which, although a very witty catch-phrase, is not justified. Personally, I feel that I know June Miller better than any woman I have actually met, and her portrait in *The Rosy Crucifixion* is a literary masterpiece. This is not to deny that Miller is at his best describing men most of the time, but surely Mr. Potts recognises that his technique is impressionistic rather than naturalistic, and the impression women make on Henry Miller is made quite plain. Let me recommend Mr. Potts to pages 111-114 of *The Colossus of Maroussi*, Penguin edition, and let him dare say that the woman there described does not come alive!

I could write at great length (in fact, I have already done so), on Miller as revolutionary. In my opinion he is the most revolutionary writer alive... at least, using the English language... but I don't want to spend a lot of time labouring the point. Suffice it to close with a short quote (and Miller is never at his best in short quotes), from the Preface of *Remember to Remember*. I hope people will, if possible, read the whole of this Preface which is a fine piece of revolutionary writing, as I interpret the phrase.

'Today the world is bound, cramped, stifled by those existing forms of government known as the State. Does the State protect us or do we protect the State? Whatever form of tyranny exists today exists by our consent. No matter into what corner of the globe we cast our eye today, we see the spectre of tyranny. Perhaps the worst tyranny is that which is created for our own good. There can be no common good unless the individual is recognized first and foremost—and until the last, the weakest of men is included. Everything proceeds from the living individual. The State is an abstraction, a bogey which can intimidate but never convince us, never win us over completely.'

Henry Miller did not list Emma Goldman among the great influences of his

LETTERS

Drugs

Dear Friends,

As an anarchist who publicly advocates the responsible use of certain chemical and non-chemical hallucinogenic techniques, to induce and guide mind-expansion, I feel obliged to answer J. Jack's criticisms of such propaganda and to clarify my own position.

I agree about the actual and potential dangers of drug addiction and its deeper authoritarian implications. Opiates are addictive and destructive. Alcohol is both to a lesser degree. Most junkies have obvious personality disorders. Others manage to control and contain their sickness at most levels of their beings. Drug abuse is often revolutionary in its motivation but unworkable, wasteful and without direction in its manifestations.

J. Jack, however, makes no distinction between addictive and non-addictive drugs, between stupefying and illuminating chemicals. In the light of known medical and scientific research, LSD, marijuana and related drugs involve no withdrawal symptoms upon disuse and are thus not physically addictive. I am interested to know what evidence or authority J.J. has for stating that LSD affects the liver.

Even these drugs are mostly abused by kick-seekers and Western psychiatry which uses LSD to brainwash relatively healthy neurotics into an acceptance of the values and aspirations of an unhealthy society. In both cases with tragic results.

I advocate such drugs as short-cut paths or vehicles for the attainment of deep revolutionary insight and a wider visionary wisdom. That is to realize the certainty of the inner-identity and solidarity of all. The global anarchist impetus. Yogic breathing and meditation is less open to abuse than chemical methods but is perhaps even more risky. Collapsed lungs the least worry. It is ultimately a personal decision. As such it must be based upon knowledge and not on irrational and emotive fears. As must any anarchist criticism, dissent and public warnings.

Best wishes,
Blackburn, Lancs. DAVE CUNLIFFE.

Political Protest Survey

Dear Friends,

There is a widespread assumption that in Britain political non-conformity is jealously protected, some would say over-protected, as is the right to protest. Yet the last decade has seen a wave of radical and anti-consensus protest—largely centred on the activities of the movement against nuclear weapons and offshoots from this—in direct confrontation with the Government and the law. The authorities have reacted time and again with ill-considered, hasty and often frankly repressive prosecutions and in doing so have used a host of obsolete

it has inspired—George Clark and the Trafalgar Square a few.

The NCCL come to undertake cases involving immediate objection memorandum for Government into the guarantee the right

To make the possible it is impossible should be compared, appeal to a more detailed information to the experience to the has been preparation application to the to say that if you could help please with us.

You National Council Civil Liberties, 4 Camden High N.W.1

The Lam Not Indiv

Dear Editors,

I wish to make the readers of *Freedom* wave' Group who Flag' meetings anarchists, but a and 'neo-marxist' therefore, to 'just a 'perversion' of theory, as Philip Sokolov suggest.

I would appreciate this note. Indiv misrepresentation out being identified little, if anything

The Audi Chaos—n

Dear Comrades,

As one of the was wrecked by his followers, I in this correspondence most disturbing the acquiescence with some exception silence the heckling reply to them. T did his best to practically no o

A friend of m surprised you le tell the truth, I by the heckling the audience, w enjoying the p there was no respectacle to p trouble, and to to watch trouble else, themselves sidelines. For th on, and later wal

I have no doubt right. These d disillusion with

Reflections on Violence
William Godwin (paperback) 3/-
Georges Sorel 30/-
The Ego and his Own
Max Stirner (paperback) 15/-
In the Struggle for Equality (the
story of the Anarchist Red Cross)
B. Yelensky 17/6
Pilate's Question Alfred Reynolds 12/6
Conscription Conflict Denis Hayes 7/6
and
(of course)
Freedom Press Publications listed below

Freedom Bookshop

(Open 2 p.m.—5.30 p.m. daily;
10 a.m.—1 p.m. Thursdays;
10 a.m.—5 p.m. Saturdays).

17a MAXWELL ROAD
FULHAM SW6 Tel: REN 3736

FREEDOM PRESS PUBLICATIONS

SELECTIONS FROM 'FREEDOM'

Vol 2 1952: Postscript to Posterity
Vol 3 1953: Colonialism on Trial
Vol 4 1954: Living on a Volcano
Vol 5 1955: The Immoral Moralists
Vol 6 1956: Oil and Troubled Waters
Vol 7 1957: Year One—Sputnik Era
Vol 8 1958: Socialism in a Wheelchair
Vol 9 1959: Print, Press & Public
Vol 10 1960: The Tragedy of Africa
Vol 11 1961: The People in the Street
Vol 12 1962: Pilkington v. Beeching
Vol 13 1963: Forces of Law and Order
Each volume: paper 7/6 cloth 10/6.
The paper edition of the **Selections** is
available to readers of **FREEDOM**
at 5/6 post free.

VERNON RICHARDS

Malatesta: His Life and Ideas
cloth 21/-; paper 10/6

E. MALATESTA

Anarchy Paper 1/-

PROUDHON

What is Property? cloth 42/-

ALEXANDER BERKMAN

ABC of Anarchism paper 2/6

HERBERT READ

Poetry & Anarchism paper 2/6

ALEX COMFORT

Delinquency 6d.

PAUL ELTZBACHER

Anarchism (Seven Exponents of the
Anarchist Philosophy) cloth 21/-

RUDOLF ROCKER

Nationalism and Culture
cloth 21/-

CHARLES MARTIN

Towards a Free Society 2/6

JOHN HEWETSON

Ill-Health, Poverty and the State
cloth 2/6; paper 1/-

VOLINE

Nineteen-Seventeen (The Russian
Revolution Betrayed) cloth 12/6
The Unknown Revolution
(Kronstadt 1921, Ukraine 1918-21)
cloth 12/6

E. A. GUTKIND

The Expanding Environment
(illustrated) boards 8/6

GEORGE BARRETT

The First Person (Selections) 2/6

Rosy Crucifixion is a literary masterpiece. This is not to deny that Miller is at his best describing men most of the time, but surely Mr. Potts recognises that his technique is impressionistic rather than naturalistic, and the impression women make on Henry Miller is made quite plain. Let me recommend Mr. Potts to pages 111-114 of *The Colossus of Maroussi*, Penguin edition, and let him dare say that the woman there described does not come alive!

I could write at great length (in fact, I have already done so), on Miller as revolutionary. In my opinion he is the most revolutionary writer alive... at least, using the English language... but I don't want to spend a lot of time labouring the point. Suffice it to close with a short quote (and Miller is never at his best in short quotes), from the Preface of *Remember to Remember*. I hope people will, if possible, read the whole of this Preface which is a fine piece of revolutionary writing, as I interpret the phrase.

'Today the world is bound, cramped, stifled by those existing forms of government known as the State. Does the State protect us or do we protect the State? Whatever form of tyranny exists today exists by our consent. No matter into what corner of the globe we cast our eye today, we see the spectre of tyranny. Perhaps the worst tyranny is that which is created for our own good. There can be no common good unless the individual is recognized first and foremost—and until the last, the weakest of men is included. Everything proceeds from the living individual. The State is an abstraction, a bogey which can intimidate but never convince us, never win us over completely.'

Henry Miller did not list Emma Goldman among the great influences of his life for nothing, Mr. Potts. And I would also like to point out that he is one of the very few writers I know who, when he uses the adjective 'anarchic', inevitably does so in a favourable sense.

Yours fraternally,

Manchester, 21

DAVEY JONES.

Anarchist Federation of Britain

(As there is no national secretariat for enquiries, speakers, etc., please contact local groups.)

ANARCHIST MEETINGS AT HYDE PARK
EVERY SUNDAY AT 2 P.M.

OFF-CENTRE LONDON DISCUSSION MEETINGS

3rd Wednesday of each month at Jack Robinson and Mary Canipa's, 21 Rumbold Road, S.W.6 (off King's Road), 8 p.m.
3rd Friday of each month at 8 p.m. at Donald and Irene Room's, now at 13 Savernake Road, London, N.W.3.

REGIONAL FEDERATIONS AND GROUPS

ALTRINCHAM ANARCHIST YOUTH GROUP. Get in touch with Stephen Richards, 25 North Vale Road, Timperley, Cheshire.

ABERDEEN GROUP. Correspondence to M. Dey, 29 Springhill Crescent, Aberdeen.
BEXLEY ANARCHIST GROUP. Correspondence to Paul Wildish, 2 Cumbrian Avenue, Barnehurst, Kent.

BIRMINGHAM ANARCHIST GROUP. Contact Dave Massey, 138 Church Road, Erdington, Birmingham, 24.

UNIVERSITY OF ASTON GROUP. Contact: D. J. Austin, 5 Kingsbury Road, Erdington, Birmingham.

CARDIFF ANARCHIST GROUP. Contact Mike Crowley, 36 Whitaker Road, Tremorfa, Cardiff.

DUNDEE GROUP. Contact Bob and Uua Turnbull, c/o Doctors' Residence, Stracathro Hospital, by Brechin, Angus.

GLASGOW ANARCHIST GROUP ONE. Correspondence to Robert Lynn, 2b Saracen Head Lane, Glasgow, C.I.

HARLOW ANARCHIST GROUP. Enquiries to

affects the liver.

Even these drugs are mostly abused by kick-seekers and Western psychiatry which uses LSD to brainwash relatively healthy neurotics into an acceptance of the values and aspirations of an unhealthy society. In both cases with tragic results.

I advocate such drugs as short-cut paths or vehicles for the attainment of deep revolutionary insight and a wider visionary wisdom. That is to realize the certainty of the inner-identity and solidarity of all. The global anarchist impetus. Yogic breathing and meditation is less open to abuse than chemical methods but is perhaps even more risky. Collapsed lungs the least worry. It is ultimately a personal decision. As such it must be based upon knowledge and not on irrational and emotive fears. As must any anarchist criticism, dissent and public warnings.

Best wishes,
Blackburn, Lancs. DAVE CUNLIFFE.

Political Protest Survey

Dear Friends,

There is a widespread assumption that in Britain political non-conformity is jealously protected, some would say over-protected, as is the right to protest. Yet the last decade has seen a wave of radical and anti-consensus protest—largely centred on the activities of the movement against nuclear weapons and offshoots from this—in direct confrontation with the Government and the law. The authorities have reacted time and again with ill-considered, hasty and often frankly repressive prosecutions and in doing so have used a host of obsolete or inappropriate weapons from the rusty armoury of the law including the Official Secrets Act, the Public Order Act, Sessional Orders, the Race Relations Act and common law provisions, and have even resorted to such anachronisms as the Justices of the Peace Act 1361. The result is that the recent history of protest may be charted by the prosecutions

and 'neo-marxist' therefore, to 'ju a 'perversion' of theory, as Phil Sokolov suggest.

I would appri this note. Ind misrepresentation out being ident little, if anything

The Aud Chaos—

Dear Comrades,

As one of the was wrecked b his followers, I in this correspo most disturbing the acquiescence with some excep silence the heck reply to them. did his best to practically no o

A friend of n surprised you l tell the truth, I by the heckling the audience, w enjoying the p there was no re spectacle to pe trouble, and to to watch trouble else, themselves sidelines. For t on, and later wa

I have no do right. These disillusion with Bomb movement revolution, or Bomb. The p going to do in th

Philip Sanson have written a stirs the blood. they were not t they haven't bee

Regional Secretary Avenue, Manchester ford, 10 Byron Str Rattray, 35a Devo chester: Mike M Droylesden, Man Breckside Park, Heywood, 16 Mar dale. Stoke-on-Tre Avenue, Weston C

EAST LOND

WALTHAM FOR
Lionel Donnelly, 3 E.17. Meetings ev **WEST HAM AN**
Higgs, 8 Westbury

WEST LOND

NORTHOLT AN
Huggon, 173 Kings sex. Meetings first month at Jeannie Park, Middlesex, at **EALING ANAR**
touch with Adrian Oakley Avenue, Lo **LIBERTARIAN**
Meetings—discussio Ford, 82 North MOU 5702.)

PROPOSED

BRIGHTON. Any R. Miller, 2 Perci **SWANSEA.** Any please contact Ia Swansea. **HERTFORDSHIR**
South View, Potter

NORTH-WEST FEDERATION

NORTH WEST ANARCHIST FEDERATION.

LETTERS

the bulk of Henry
detail for the last few
on for a full-length
vehemently with many
by Paul Potts in his
Miller's talent in last

red of critics and re-
time disputing the
f Miller's genius, but
n farther: he doubts
ler, musician, painter,
ortant and influential
e age, can really be
Of course, it is true
ear a beard but even

rong with comparing
Whitman? They are
e parallels, they are
e Blake, he is a mystic
ch of a mystic can be
he pages of *Time of*
d like Whitman he is
I will come back to

th the phrase, 'Women
fe in his work, they
d...' which, although
h-phrase, is not justi-
feel that I know June
any woman I have
her portrait in *The*
s a literary master-
t to deny that Miller
scribing men most of
y Mr. Potts recognises
ue is impressionistic
listic, and the impres-
e on Henry Miller is

Let me recommend
ages 111-114 of *The*
russi, Penguin edition,
say that the woman
es not come alive!

t great length (in fact,
one so), on Miller as
my opinion he is the
y writer alive... at
English language...
to spend a lot of time
nt. Suffice it to close
e (and Miller is never
ort quotes), from the
mber to Remember. I
if possible, read the
eface which is a fine
nary writing, as I in-

dd is bound, cramped,
isting forms of govern-
the State. Does the
or do we protect the
form of tyranny exists
ar consent. No matter
of the globe we cast
we see the spectre of
the worst tyranny is
ated for our own good.
common good unless
recognized first and fore-
the last, the weakest of
Everything proceeds
individual. The State is
pogey which can intimi-
nvince us, never win
ly.

dd not list Emma Gold-

Drugs

Dear Friends,

As an anarchist who publicly advo-
cates the responsible use of certain
chemical and non-chemical hallucinogenic
techniques, to induce and guide mind-
expansion, I feel obliged to answer J.
Jack's criticisms of such propaganda and
to clarify my own position.

I agree about the actual and potential
dangers of drug addiction and its deeper
authoritarian implications. Opiates are
addictive and destructive. Alcohol is
both to a lesser degree. Most junkies
have obvious personality disorders.
Others manage to control and contain
their sickness at most levels of their
beings. Drug abuse is often revolu-
tionary in its motivation but unworkable,
wasteful and without direction in its
manifestations.

J. Jack, however, makes no distinction
between addictive and non-addictive
drugs, between stupefying and illuminat-
ing chemicals. In the light of known
medical and scientific research, LSD,
marijuana and related drugs involve no
withdrawal symptoms upon disuse and
are thus not physically addictive. I am
interested to know what evidence or
authority J.J. has for stating that LSD
affects the liver.

Even these drugs are mostly abused
by kick-seekers and Western psychiatry
which uses LSD to brainwash relatively
healthy neurotics into an acceptance of
the values and aspirations of an un-
healthy society. In both cases with
tragic results.

I advocate such drugs as short-cut
paths or vehicles for the attainment of
deep revolutionary insight and a wider
visionary wisdom. That is to realize
the certainty of the inner-identity and
solidarity of all. The global anarchist
impetus. Yogic breathing and meditation
is less open to abuse than chemical
methods but is perhaps even more risky.
Collapsed lungs the least worry. It is
ultimately a personal decision. As such
it must be based upon knowledge and
not on irrational and emotive fears. As
must any anarchist criticism, dissent and
public warnings.

Best wishes,

Blackburn, Lancs. DAVE CUNLIFFE.

Political Protest Survey

Dear Friends,

There is a widespread assumption that
in Britain political non-conformity is
jealously protected, some would say
over-protected, as is the right to protest.
Yet the last decade has seen a wave of
radical and anti-consensus protest—
largely centred on the activities of the
movement against nuclear weapons and
offshoots from this—in direct confron-
tation with the Government and the law.
The authorities have reacted time and
again with ill-considered, hasty and often
frankly repressive prosecutions and in
doing so have used a host of obsolete

it has inspired—the Pat Arrowsmith and
George Clark cases, the Wethersfield 5,
the Trafalgar Square arrests—to cite only
a few.

The NCCL considers that the time has
come to undertake a survey of recent
cases involving political protesters. The
immediate objective is to prepare a
memorandum for submission to the
Government in support of the introduc-
tion into the law of safeguards to
guarantee the right of political protest.

To make the case as authoritative as
possible it is important that the survey
should be comprehensive. We, there-
fore, appeal to all those who could sup-
ply detailed information from their own
experience to contact us. A questionnaire
has been prepared and is available on
application to the Secretariat. Needless
to say that if you know of others who
could help please put them in touch
with us.

Yours sincerely,

National Council for TONY SMYTHE,
Civil Liberties, General Secretary.
4 Camden High Street,
N.W.1

The Lamb & Flag: Not Individualists

Dear Editors,

I wish to make it perfectly clear to
the readers of FREEDOM that the 'Heat-
wave' Group who wrecked the 'Lamb and
Flag' meetings are **not** individualist
anarchists, but a cross between 'provos'
and 'neo-marxists'. There is no need,
therefore, to 'justify their behaviour' by
a 'perversion' of individualist anarchist
theory, as Philip Sansom and Frances
Sokolov suggest.

I would appreciate the publication of
this note. Individualists have enough
misrepresentation to contend with, with-
out being identified with groups having
little, if anything, in common with them.

S. E. PARKER,
Editor, *Minus One*.

The Audience Wanted Chaos—not Anarchy

Dear Comrades,

As one of the speakers whose meeting
was wrecked by Charles Radcliffe and
his followers, I would like to participate
in this correspondence. What I found
most disturbing about the incident was
the acquiescence of the audience, who,
with some exceptions, did not attempt to
silence the hecklers, or make any kind of
reply to them. The chairman, Axel Hoch,
did his best to control the situation, but
practically no one backed him up.

A friend of mine said afterwards, 'I'm
surprised you let them rattle you'. To
tell the truth, I was not so much rattled
by the heckling as by the behaviour of
the audience, who seemed rather to be
enjoying the performance. I felt that
there was no reason to offer myself as a
spectacle to people who want to make
trouble, and to others who merely want
to watch trouble being made for someone
else, themselves remaining safely on the
sidelines. For that reason I refused to go
on, and later walked out.

I have no doubt that Jeff Robinson is
right. These disorders are caused by
disillusion with the failure of the anti-

for a long while. While it might save
one particular meeting to throw the
hecklers out, what are you going to do
about a situation where a large part of the
audience are enjoying the fight? They
just get a kick out of it. Is it really
worth running meetings for the benefit
of such people? Wouldn't they be happier
watching all-in wrestling?

It isn't as if this situation was recent.
There has been disorder and a certain
amount of petty bullying at the meetings
for the past year and more. It was like
this even before J. P. Schweitzer appeared
upon the scene, and long before Charles
Radcliffe started his crusade to reform
the anarchist movement.

In fact the behaviour of one meeting,
when a speaker from the Homosexual
Law Reform Society addressed us, was
so bad that I had to apologise afterwards
to him.

Evidently what the public want is
chaos, not anarchy. It is no use looking
for individuals as scapegoats. This does
not condone the behaviour of those who
set out deliberately to wreck the last
two meetings. But the fact remains that
their action was merely the logical out-
come of all that had gone before.

Nor will I refuse myself the pleasure of
saying, 'I told you so'. I wrote to
FREEDOM more than a year ago about
what was going on at 'The Lamb and
Flag'. The letter was not published. I
have said on a number of occasions that
it would all end in a free-for-all, and
we should lose the meeting place. I have
tried to do what I could by acting as
chairman, although I am not good at it,
and do not like doing it.

As far as I can see we live in an age
of increasing chaos. It manifests itself
in art, when people squash frogs and
tear the heads off live chickens. The
great thing is to be violent, to hurt,
humiliate and destroy. In some way it
is supposed to be good for you, to act
as a catharsis. It makes its appearance
in anarchist meetings too.

These meetings never seemed to me
to be a prelude to a revolution. They
were a social club for libertarians of all
shades. I still think there are enough
genuine libertarians around to make
periodic meetings worthwhile, but I don't
know how this is to be done without
attracting the rowdies, and the kind of
people who, while not intervening
themselves, enjoy the rowdiness.

The subject on which I was speaking,
although I did not get far with it, was
curiously apt. I was attempting to
develop the theme that it is not just what
people say, it is also the way they say it
that has to be watched. A revolutionary
may preach freedom, peace and brother-
hood in words, but the tones he uses are
those of an authoritarian, a man of
violence. He is therefore a dangerous
man, who may, when put to the test, turn
out a tyrant.

We had a beautiful illustration of this
in the meeting, because the hecklers used
'Leftist' terms like 'bourgeois', but their
behaviour was that of a Fascist group. I
felt as the evening went on that physical
violence was not far away.

Yours fraternally,

ARTHUR W. ULOTH.

Poetry & Anarchism

Dear Comrades,

Would you kindly write and tell me of

is a literary master-
to deny that Miller
cribing men most of
y Mr. Potts recognises
is impressionistic
istic, and the impres-
on Henry Miller is
Let me recommend
ages 111-114 of *The
russi*, Penguin edition,
say that the woman
es not come alive!

t great length (in fact,
one so), on Miller as
y opinion he is the
y writer alive . . . at
English language . . .
to spend a lot of time
nt. Suffice it to close
(and Miller is never
ort quotes), from the
nber to *Remember*. I
if possible, read the
eface which is a fine
nary writing, as I in-

ld is bound, cramped,
isting forms of govern-
the State. Does the
or do we protect the
form of tyranny exists
ur consent. No matter
of the globe we cast
we see the spectre of
s the worst tyranny is
ated for our own good.
o common good unless
recognized first and fore-
the last, the weakest of
Everything proceeds
individual. The State is
bogy which can intimi-
convince us, never win
ily.

id not list Emma Gold-
great influences of his
Mr. Potts. And I
to point out that he is
few writers I know who,
he adjective 'anarchic',
p in a favourable sense.
s fraternally,

DAVEY JONES.

authority J.J. . . . for stating that LSD
affects the liver.

Even these drugs are mostly abused
by kick-seekers and Western psychiatry
which uses LSD to brainwash relatively
healthy neurotics into an acceptance of
the values and aspirations of an un-
healthy society. In both cases with
tragic results.

I advocate such drugs as short-cut
paths or vehicles for the attainment of
deep revolutionary insight and a wider
visionary wisdom. That is to realize
the certainty of the inner-identity and
solidarity of all. The global anarchist
impetus. Yogic breathing and meditation
is less open to abuse than chemical
methods but is perhaps even more risky.
Collapsed lungs the least worry. It is
ultimately a personal decision. As such
it must be based upon knowledge and
not on irrational and emotive fears. As
must any anarchist criticism, dissent and
public warnings.

Best wishes,

Blackburn, Lancs. DAVE CUNLIFFE.

Political Protest Survey

Dear Friends,

There is a widespread assumption that
in Britain political non-conformity is
jealously protected, some would say
over-protected, as is the right to protest.
Yet the last decade has seen a wave of
radical and anti-consensus protest—
largely centred on the activities of the
movement against nuclear weapons and
offshoots from this—in direct confron-
tation with the Government and the law.
The authorities have reacted time and
again with ill-considered, hasty and often
frankly repressive prosecutions and in
doing so have used a host of obsolete
or inappropriate weapons from the rusty
armoury of the law including the Official
Secrets Act, the Public Order Act,
Sessional Orders, the Race Relations Act
and common law provisions, and have
even resorted to such anachronisms as
the Justices of the Peace Act 1361. The
result is that the recent history of pro-
test may be charted by the prosecutions

anarchists, but a cross between 'provos'
and 'neo-maxists'. There is no need,
therefore, to 'justify their behaviour' by
a 'perversion' of individualist anarchist
theory, as Philip Sansom and Frances
Sokolov suggest.

I would appreciate the publication of
this note. Individualists have enough
misrepresentation to contend with, with-
out being identified with groups having
little, if anything, in common with them.

S. E. PARKER,
Editor, *Minus One*.

The Audience Wanted Chaos—not Anarchy

Dear Comrades,

As one of the speakers whose meeting
was wrecked by Charles Radcliffe and
his followers, I would like to participate
in this correspondence. What I found
most disturbing about the incident was
the acquiescence of the audience, who,
with some exceptions, did not attempt to
silence the hecklers, or make any kind of
reply to them. The chairman, Axel Hoch,
did his best to control the situation, but
practically no one backed him up.

A friend of mine said afterwards, 'I'm
surprised you let them rattle you'. To
tell the truth, I was not so much rattled
by the heckling as by the behaviour of
the audience, who seemed rather to be
enjoying the performance. I felt that
there was no reason to offer myself as a
spectacle to people who want to make
trouble, and to others who merely want
to watch trouble being made for someone
else, themselves remaining safely on the
sidelines. For that reason I refused to go
on, and later walked out.

I have no doubt that Jeff Robinson is
right. These disorders are caused by
disillusion with the failure of the anti-
Bomb movement to develop into a social
revolution, or even to get rid of The
Bomb. The problem is, what are we
going to do in the future?

Philip Sansom and Frances Sokolov
have written a swashbuckling letter. It
stirs the blood. The only trouble is that
they were not there at the time. Indeed
they haven't been coming to the meetings

and do not like doing it.

As far as I can see we live in an age
of increasing chaos. It manifests itself
in art, when people squash frogs and
tear the heads off live chickens. The
great thing is to be violent, to hurt,
humiliate and destroy. In some way it
is supposed to be good for you, to act
as a catharsis. It makes its appearance
in anarchist meetings too.

These meetings never seemed to me
to be a prelude to a revolution. They
were a social club for libertarians of all
shades. I still think there are enough
genuine libertarians around to make
periodic meetings worthwhile, but I don't
know how this is to be done without
attracting the rowdies, and the kind of
people who, while not intervening
themselves, enjoy the rowdiness.

The subject on which I was speaking,
although I did not get far with it, was
curiously apt. I was attempting to
develop the theme that it is not just what
people say, it is also the way they say it
that has to be watched. A revolutionary
may preach freedom, peace and brother-
hood in words, but the tones he uses are
those of an authoritarian, a man of
violence. He is therefore a dangerous
man, who may, when put to the test, turn
out a tyrant.

We had a beautiful illustration of this
in the meeting, because the hecklers used
'Leftist' terms like 'bourgeois', but their
behaviour was that of a Fascist group. I
felt as the evening went on that physical
violence was not far away.

Yours fraternally,

ARTHUR W. ULOTH.

Poetry & Anarchism

Dear Comrades,

Would you kindly write and tell me of
any enlightening articles by anarchists on
P. B. Shelley, Byron, and John Milton.
I'm at work on these and other poets, and
am keen to be aware of all valuation
that's gone before.

Best Wishes,

South View, STUART MITCHEL.
Potters Heath,
Welwyn, Herts.

Anarchist Federation of Britain

onal secretariat for enquiries, speakers, etc., please contact local groups.)

TINGS AT HYDE PARK
T 2 P.M.

LONDON MEETINGS

ach month at Jack Robinson
21 Rumbold Road, S.W.6
p.m.
month at 8 p.m. at Donald
now at 13 Savernake Road,

FEDERATIONS S

ARCHIST YOUTH GROUP.
Stephen Richards, 25 North
y, Cheshire.

UP. Correspondence to
hill Crescent, Aberdeen.
IST GROUP. Correspondence
Cumbrian Avenue, Barnehurst.

ARCHIST GROUP. Contact
8 Church Road, Erdington.

ASTON GROUP. Contact:
Kingsbury Road, Erdington,

IST GROUP. Contact Mike
ker Road, Tremorfa, Cardiff.
r. Contact Bob and Una
ctors' Residence, Stracathro
Angus.

CHIST GROUP ONE. Cor-
bert Lynn, 2b Saracen Head

HIST GROUP. Enquiries to

Keith Nathan, 138 Pennymead, Harlow or John
Barrick, 14 Centre Avenue, Epping.
HULL ANARCHIST GROUP. J. Tempest,
89 Fountain Road, Hull. Tel. 212526. Meetings
8 p.m. 1st and 3rd Fridays of month at above
address.

IPSWICH ANARCHISTS. Contact Neil Dean, 74
Cemetery Road, Ipswich, Suffolk.
LEWISHAM, LONDON, S.E.13. 2nd and 4th
Thursdays. Meetings at Mike Malet's, 61 Granville
Park, Lewisham, S.E.13.

NEW HAM LIBERTARIANS. Contact Mick
Shenker, 122 Hampton Road, Forest Gate,
NOTTING HILL ANARCHIST GROUP. Cor-
respondence to Brian Joseph, 1st floor, 27
Arundel Gardens, London, W.11. Meeting every
first Thursday of the month at 8 p.m., Flat 3,
Colville Houses, W.11.

NORTH-WEST ESSEX. Meetings on the first
Saturday of each month at 7.30 p.m. at Robert
Bartlett's, The Old Vicarage, Radwinter, near
Saffron Walden.

ORPINGTON ANARCHIST GROUP. Knockholt,
Nr. Sevenoaks, Kent. Every six weeks at Green-
ways, Knockholt. Phone: Knockholt 2316. Brian
and Maureen Richardson.

OXFORD ANARCHIST GROUP. Contact H. G.
Mellor, Merton College, Oxford.

PLYMOUTH ANARCHIST FEDERATION. Contact
J. Hill, 79 Underlane, Plymstock, Plymouth,
Devon.

READING ANARCHIST GROUP. Contact
Alan Ross, 116 Belmont Road, Reading, Berks.
SHEFFIELD. Regular meetings for discussion at
the Foresters, Division Street, Mondays. Contact
Robin Lovell, c/o Students' Union, University,
Sheffield. Tel. 24076.

NORTH-WEST FEDERATION

NORTH WEST ANARCHIST FEDERATION.

Regional Secretary: J. Bromley, 44 Doncaster
Avenue, Manchester, 20. Buxton: Chris Berris-
ford, 10 Byron Street, Buxton. Chorley: Alistair
Rattray, 35a Devonshire Road, Chorley. Man-
chester: Mike Mitchell, 3 Bakewell Road,
Droylesden, Manchester. Brenda Mercer, 6
Brecksdale Park, Liverpool, 6. Rochdale: Ian
Heywood, 16 Mansfield Road, Bamford, Roch-
dale. Stoke-on-Trent: Bob Blakeman, 52 Weldon
Avenue, Weston Coyney, Stoke-on-Trent.

EAST LONDON FEDERATION

WALTHAM FOREST ANARCHISTS. Contact
Lionel Donnelly, 322a Hoe Street, Walthamstow,
E.17. Meetings every Thursday at above address.
WEST HAM ANARCHISTS. Contact Stephen
Higgs, 8 Westbury Road, Forest Gate, E.7.

WEST LONDON FEDERATION

NORTHOLT ANARCHISTS. Contact: Jim
Huggon, 173 Kingshill Avenue, Northolt, Middle-
sex. Meetings first and third Wednesday of the
month at Jeannie's, 6 Epsom Close, Northolt
Park, Middlesex, at 7.30 p.m.
EALING ANARCHIST GROUP. Get into
touch with Adrian Derbyshire, 2 Oakley House,
Oakley Avenue, London, W.5.
LIBERTARIAN TEACHERS' ASSOCIATION.
Meetings—discussions—activities. Contact Peter
Ford, 82 North Road, Highgate, N.6. (Tel.:
MOU 5702.)

PROPOSED GROUPS

BRIGHTON. Anyone interested get in touch with
R. Miller, 2 Percival Terrace, Brighton, 7.
SWANSEA. Any interested in Anarchist group
please contact Ian Bone via the University,
Swansea.
HERTFORDSHIRE. Contact Stuart Mitchel,
South View, Potters Heath, Welwyn.

MANCHESTER PROVOS. All interested contact
David Stringer and Dave Tugbeh, 35 Granton
Street, Cheetham Hill, Manchester, 8.

CAMBRIDGE. Contact Wallyjon Illingworth, c/o
Richmond House, Devon Road, Cambridge.

MID-MIDDLESEX. (Harrow, Wembley, Edgware,
Hendon.) Anyone interested in forming a
libertarian group for discussion and possible
action write to Nicolas and Ruth Walter, 4
Vane Close, Kenton, Harrow, Middlesex.

SOUTH COAST, BRIGHTON, ETC. Eastbourne,
Hastings, Lewes area contact Alan Albon. The
Stable, Glynleigh Farm, Pevensey, Sussex. Phone
Hailsham 358.

WATFORD. Anyone interested please contact
Alan Pritchard, 8 Bedford Street, Watford, Herts.
MEDWAY TOWNS AREA. Proposed Group.
Erroll Davies, 22 St. Margaret's Street, Rochester,
Kent.

ABROAD

U.S.A. NEW YORK CITY. N.Y. Federation of
Anarchists, c/o Torch Bookshop, 641 East 9th
Street, N.Y., 10009. Meets every Thursday evening.

AUSTRALIA. Anarchist Group, PO Box A 389,
Sydney South. Public meetings every Sunday
in the Domain, 2 p.m. and Mondays, 72 Oxford
Street, Paddington, Sydney, 8 p.m.

DANISH ANARCHIST FEDERATION. 52
Mindevej, Soborg-Copenhagen, Denmark.

VANCOUVER, B.C., CANADA. Anyone inter-
ested in forming anarchist and/or direct action
peace group contact Derek A. James, 1844
Grand Boulevard, North Vancouver, B.C.,
Canada. Tel.: 987-2693.

U.S.A. VERMONT/NEW HAMPSHIRE. Dis-
cussion/Action group anyone? Contact Ed Strauss,
RFD 2 Woodstock, Vermont 05091, USA.

SWEDEN. Stockholm Anarchist Federation!
Contact Nadir, Box 19104, Stockholm 19, Sweden.

CANADA: Winnipeg. Anybody interested in
Direct action/anarchy contact G. J. Nasir, 606
Matheson Avenue, Winnipeg, 17, Manitoba.

BELGIUM: LIEGE. Provos, c/o Jacques Charlier,
11 Avenue de la Laiterie, Sclessini-Liege, Belgium.

Friends of Freedom

WITH THE DEATH, last month, of Osvaldo Maraviglia, the international anarchist movement has lost one of its most internationally-minded comrades, and we at Freedom Press a dear friend and a staunch supporter of our many initiatives over the past thirty years. Yet most of our readers have probably never heard of Osvaldo, and what is more we of the FP group knew him only through correspondence!

Osvaldo Maraviglia was born in Italy in 1894, and at the age of seventeen emigrated to the United States where he joined two brothers in Newark, New Jersey, where he was to spend the next forty years. He worked in a clothing factory, and took part in all the early agitations among the clothing workers. And in 1922, when the Italian speaking comrades founded the anarchist weekly *L'Adunata dei Refrattari*, undertook the onerous task of looking after the administration of the journal, and this he continued to do until 1954, when owing to a heart complaint he had to give up his job and with his wife moved to San Francisco where he lived with his married daughter. There he was always more active than his heart would allow, and it was at a counter-demonstration to one organised by the American Nazi movement in San Francisco last month that our comrade collapsed and died a few minutes later.

* * *

Another Italian friend of freedom died earlier this year, in London. He was Vittorio Taborelli, who came to London probably in 1922 or 1923 as a political refugee, and was over 80 years of age when he died. Though he was sceptical as to the effectiveness of our kind of propaganda (he belonged to the old propaganda-by-the-deed tradition), he was always among the first to support any new initiative of ours. During the inter-war years, when all but a few socialists and anarchists among the Italian colony in London were rabid fascists, Taborelli was always in trouble, always involved in discussions, which not infrequently ended in his being assaulted by Mussolini's supporters. When war was declared Taborelli remained faithful to his anti-militarist principles and supported the anarchists in this country in their anti-war stand and was always generous with help for comrades who were 'on the run'.

* * *

A third friend of freedom, who died last month, was Charles Duff, probably best known as the author of the *Handbook of Hanging*. It was the rebel in Charles which made him always have a soft spot for the anarchists and many friends in the movement, but he was never a declared anarchist. If one could give him a political label it would be that of a Popular Frontist. This was his position in the Spanish Civil War, the experience of which, however, left him feeling much more sceptical about the possibility of working with Communists. But Duff was not a man to label; like so many freelancers he was strongly individualistic and resisted any attempts at being tied down, politically

of these freelancers for whom it became more and more difficult to participate in joint activities of propaganda. This was a shame because he was such a versatile man and efficient in whatever job he was engaged.

Our association with Laurie goes back to 1940, at a time when the official pacifist movement was too respectable and muddle-headed for him and many other pacifists to go on working for it. It is surely a compliment to the integrity of the anarchist movement during the last war that so many pacifists should have joined forces with us and/or written for our journal *War Commentary*, bearing in mind that though we were anti-militarists, we were still 'unrepentant revolutionists' (in spite of the collapse of the revolutionary movement in Spain). Outstanding among the propagandists who came to us from the pacifist movement in 1940 were Fredrick Lohr, John Hewetson, George Woodcock and of course Laurie Hislam, whose first article for *War Commentary*, 'Enemies of the People', appeared in the December 1941 issue, and it is significant that he was even then thinking in terms of the individual—as opposed to the class—struggle. Thinking of John Pilgrim's recent article in the October issue of *Anarchy* ('Salvation by the Working Class: Is it an Outmoded Myth?'), it is perhaps worth while quoting from Laurie Hislam's article which was written a quarter of a century ago in *FREEDOM's* predecessor:

'... The time must come when conditions will compel serious thought on social problems, and, in preparation for that occasion, the direction of our efforts must be carefully considered. By all means let us expose the repressions and tyrannies contained in a class-society, but beware of holding out false hopes to the oppressed (most of whom alas are not aware of it) in the manner of political parties who are, in effect if not by intent, working for a mere change of oppressors. In striving for the removal of all governmental and repressive institutions and the liquidation (used in its old sense) of the ruling class, let us always be vitally aware that this can only be achieved by the willing acceptance of entirely new values by the individual.'

This paragraph is too provocative of thought to be discussed now, though it serves to illustrate the complexity of a personality such as Laurie Hislam's.

There is no question, in this writer's mind, that he was dominated and influenced in the worst sense by Fredrick Lohr, a propagandist of outstanding abilities (who was in his fifties when he died some six years ago), a man who, in 1939, gave up a profitable job as a car salesman in Great Portland Street, with huntin' and fishin' at weekends, to further the cause of the oppressed. Fredrick Lohr was a great personality. So far as the anarchists were concerned he was an asset whenever he spoke from their platforms or at mass meetings, even, for a short time, after his conversion to the Catholic Church. Laurie Hislam followed in his footsteps: he even

'Indians Told to Share Out

A SO-CALLED GYPSY informed on Harry Roberts and intends to claim the £1,000 reward. The *Express* asserts that Harry Roberts said to Sergeant Peter Smith, 'Please, please, don't shoot me.' This item does not appear in any other paper. Meanwhile the Wooden Shoe Bookshop (42 New Compton Street, W.C.2) has produced a poster saying, 'Thank you Harry Roberts for acting out our Fantasies'.

SEVEN UNIFORMED POLICE CONSTABLES of W Division of the Metropolitan Police have been suspended from duty pending investigations into theft allegations against the officers. The Judge in a case at the Old Bailey will request the Commissioner of Police to investigate the alleged bribery of police-officers (during the case) in order that they would not oppose bail too strongly. It was alleged that £150 was paid and a further £450 'so that the police would not give false evidence'. In a letter in the *Guardian* on behalf of the Council of Civil Liberties, Benedict Birnberg and Cedric Thornberry referring to the recent proposal to make majority verdicts operative on a jury refer to the 'contamination of juries, not only on behalf of the accused, but also on behalf of the police. These latter practices,' they say, 'tend to go unsung: but they are known to the Council and to many lawyers practising in the criminal courts.'

THE PRIME MINISTER (on behalf of the Home Secretary) assured the House of Commons, 'I feel it right to inform the House that there is no tapping of telephone numbers [of MPs], nor has there been since this Government came into office.' The Opposition immediately took umbrage at the innuendo but does not the innuendo apply to the previous (also Labour) Government? Chapman Pincher of the *Daily Express* (who should know) says, 'Most of the tapped phones belong to suspected spies, criminals, Customs evaders, Communists, Fascists and Nuclear Disarmers.' 'He also mentions a figure of 11 million microphones [installed?] waiting to be abused. 'Any relevant parts of the conversations help to swell police files,' says Pincher, 'or the two million dossiers in the registry at M.I.5.' A writer in the *Guardian* states that 'clicks' are no indication of phone tapping, an efficient tapper can eliminate 'clicks'. In fact the *Guardian* reports a well-known political activist who said that one of the benefits of using a tapped (US bugged) phone was that abusive calls were cut off by the monitor. In a cartoon on the phone tapping debate the ex-directory number

of 10 Downing Street (4433) and the switch with calls, the number now been changed. Being REN 3736 it assume (although this of grandeur or persecution) that the phone fore do not say and would not be prepared most important, do parties. A party of that phone tapping criminal offence.

ACCORDING TO *The Times* Her Majesty's foreign services was increased year to a total of £10 it was a mere £2½ million in 1960. Purely course, following the paragraph, the retirement of the head of Scotland Branch on December mander Evan W. J. succeeded by Detective tendent Ferguson G.

THE INTERNATIONAL W sponsored by the Ber Foundation called a the end of the Tri sitting. Historian emphasized', according (on Thursday), that tions from the Tribunal unfounded. On the the *Morning Star* pri that Presidents Kaun Nyere of Tanzania h Senghor of Senegal i sponsorship of the T pressure by the USA

GENERAL FRANCO PRO for 'political offences the Spanish Civil War *Morning Star* denou

Cathy C

EVERY now and t fit of conscience gramme of value ra crop of additive ru seem to be their nor Such a programme tion, Jeremy Sandf Home', screened as o Play series.

I will not attempt script now but for th play it concerned the through a succession until they are forced to enter a home of pattern where, du pressures they are s eventually disintegrat The film success misery and degrad trapped in the cul-d this state without p themselves against s ing poverty and pra Sandford for his h study of a coercive

propaganda, that they were so critical to one's face and yet such good anarchist propagandists with the non-anarchists?

Long ago this writer had said to Laurie: 'To hell with your politics and your Pope; I love you as you are' and presumably he thought likewise because whenever we met (generally at a demonstration) we were both happy to see each other and exchange notes. It was sad to learn from one of the obituary notices that he had joined the Labour Party

last month that our comrade collapsed and died a few minutes later.

Another Italian friend of freedom died earlier this year, in London. He was Vittorio Taborelli, who came to London probably in 1922 or 1923 as a political refugee, and was over 80 years of age when he died. Though he was sceptical as to the effectiveness of our kind of propaganda (he belonged to the old propaganda-by-the-deed tradition), he was always among the first to support any new initiative of ours. During the inter-war years, when all but a few socialists and anarchists among the Italian colony in London were rabid fascists, Taborelli was always in trouble, always involved in discussions, which not infrequently ended in his being assaulted by Mussolini's supporters. When war was declared Taborelli remained faithful to his anti-militarist principles and supported the anarchists in this country in their anti-war stand and was always generous with help for comrades who were 'on the run'.

A third friend of freedom, who died last month, was Charles Duff, probably best known as the author of the *Handbook of Hanging*. It was the rebel in Charles which made him always have a soft spot for the anarchists and many friends in the movement, but he was never a declared anarchist. If one could give him a political label it would be that of a Popular Frontist. This was his position in the Spanish Civil War, the experience of which, however, left him feeling much more sceptical about the possibility of working with Communists. But Duff was not a man to label; like so many freelancers he was strongly individualistic and resisted any attempts at being tied down, politically speaking. But when it was a question of civil liberties, Charles Duff was always on the side of freedom.

The death of Laurie Hislam in a road accident in France in August came as a shock to his many friends. He was not yet 60 years old and was full of energy and one cannot doubt that he still had many schemes for demonstrations up his sleeve. Laurie was another

Class: Is it an Outmoded Myth?), it is perhaps worth while quoting from Laurie Hislam's article which was written a quarter of a century ago in *FREEDOM's* predecessor:

The time must come when conditions will compel serious thought on social problems, and, in preparation for that occasion, the direction of our efforts must be carefully considered. By all means let us expose the repressions and tyrannies contained in a class-society, but beware of holding out false hopes to the oppressed (most of whom alas are not aware of it) in the manner of political parties who are, in effect if not by intent, working for a mere change of oppressors. In striving for the removal of all governmental and repressive institutions and the liquidation (used in its old sense) of the ruling class, let us always be vitally aware that this can only be achieved by the willing acceptance of entirely new values by the individual.

This paragraph is too provocative of thought to be discussed now, though it serves to illustrate the complexity of a personality such as Laurie Hislam's.

There is no question, in this writer's mind, that he was dominated and influenced in the worst sense by Fredrick Lohr, a propagandist of outstanding abilities (who was in his fifties when he died some six years ago), a man who, in 1939, gave up a profitable job as a car salesman in Great Portland Street, with huntin' and fishin' at weekends, to further the cause of the oppressed. Fredrick Lohr was a great personality. So far as the anarchists were concerned he was an asset whenever he spoke from their platforms or at mass meetings, even, for a short time, after his conversion to the Catholic Church. Laurie Hislam followed in his footsteps; he even confirmed this by sporting a beard like his Master! Yet both Lohr and Hislam were also of this world. Those who attended the former's outdoor meetings in Lincoln's Inn Fields in the 50's can vouch for the sound commonsense arguments with which Fredrick countered the solicitors' clerks' objections to his anarchist, anti-capitalist point of view. And Laurie was just as provoking! But did it matter, from the point of view of

THE PRIME MINISTER (on behalf of the Home Secretary) assured the House of Commons, 'I feel it right to inform the House that there is no tapping of telephone numbers [of MPs], nor has there been since this Government came into office.' The Opposition immediately took umbrage at the innuendo but does not the innuendo apply to the previous (also Labour) Government? Chapman Pincher of the *Daily Express* (who should know) says, 'Most of the tapped phones belong to suspected spies, criminals, Customs evaders, Communists, Fascists and Nuclear Disarmers.' He also mentions a figure of 11 million microphones [installed?] waiting to be abused. 'Any relevant parts of the conversations help to swell police files,' says Pincher, 'or the two million dossiers in the registry at M.I.5.' A writer in the *Guardian* states that 'clicks' are no indication of phone tapping, an efficient tapper can eliminate 'clicks'. In fact the *Guardian* reports a well-known political activist who said that one of the benefits of using a tapped (US bugged) phone was that abusive calls were cut off by the monitor. In a cartoon on the phone tapping debate the ex-directory number

propaganda, that they were so critical to one's face and yet such good anarchist propagandists with the non-anarchists?

Long ago this writer had said to Laurie: 'To hell with your politics and your Pope; I love you as you are' and presumably he thought likewise because whenever we met (generally at a demonstration) we were both happy to see each other and exchange notes. It was sad to learn from one of the obituary notices that he had joined the Labour Party but on reflection it probably meant that as a result he would be more critical, publicly, of the Labour Party than of the anarchists. What is really important is that Laurie Hislam was a character, a personality in his own right, who at the same time had no ambitions to dominate. And to the extent that this assessment of his personality is valid, it is difficult to link it to his attachment to Fredrick Lohr who, in this writer's opinion was, on the whole a negative, destructive influence so far as intelligent people were concerned.

Young people don't have to write obituaries. It is a job for the middle-aged, a difficult job, because they are talking about something which is part of them. But if we have anything to communicate to the young people of today it is the spirit of adventure and independence of these friends to whom we have wanted to introduce readers of *FREEDOM*: an Osvaldo Maraviglia who at the age of 17 crosses the Atlantic, earns his living and at the same time furthers anarchist propaganda not only in the United States but everywhere; a Vittorio Taborelli who, rather than renounce his ideas, chooses exile; a Charles Duff who as a Press Officer in the Foreign Office had a career ensured for him, but prefers 'exile' over the issue of the Spanish Civil War; and . . . well, how does one explain Laurie? He was the dearest of them all, because this writer feels he knew him more intimately, and as a contemporary. But all of them will be remembered because they contributed something to enrich and justify the life of

V.R.

(on Thursday), that the Tribunal was unfounded. On the other hand the *Morning Star* prints that Presidents Kaunda and Nyerere of Tanzania have withdrawn their sponsorship of the Tribunal under pressure by the USA.

GENERAL FRANCO PROSECUTED for 'political offences' in the Spanish Civil War. The *Morning Star* denounces

Cathy C

EVERY now and then a fit of conscience strikes a programme of value rapscrogs of addictive rap seem to be their norm.

Such a programme of television, Jeremy Sandford's 'Home', screened as one of the Play series.

I will not attempt to script now but for the play it concerned the succession until they are forced to enter a home of pattern where, due to pressures they are subjected to, eventually disintegrate.

The film suggests misery and degradation trapped in the culture of this state without themselves against suffering poverty and praising Sandford for his honest study of a coercive

Indecent

Continued that he had left the church to help bring from the gallery, though hadn't left the building fully explained. I remembered after photographs that he outside for several Nicolas Walter was police. Incidentally evidence against Heather Russell.

The only evidence Russell and Heather of Det.-Sgt. Osborne against Sue Abraham Lain, who had seen the church aisle and some blurred photographs who had been taken. The only evidence was that of Margaret seen him standing able to hear what he

The defence case that Bernard Miles Nicolas Walter had but these were all defence evidence before Of the four people Birnberg, Heather Russell gave evidence while Bernard Miles not be giving evidence Derek both stated not taken part in the second lesson, though with church stewards

PRICES & INCOMES BOARD AND ALL OUR READERS—Please Note

STARTING JANUARY 1967 the price of *FREEDOM* will be raised to 6d. It will continue to be published on each Saturday except the first in each month, when *Anarchy* comes out, but the last issue of *FREEDOM* in each month will be of eight pages. We hope in these extra four pages to deal in greater scope with current important matters, and to include some theoretical material, as well as giving more attention to cultural matters beside our regular art column.

The increase in price entails an increase in subscription. Existing subscriptions will be honoured at the old rate. Readers whose subscriptions fall due up to the end of October will by now have received renewal notices. These will be accepted for a whole year at the old rate if paid before the end of November for subscriptions in the U.K. and Europe, and before the end of the year for other places abroad.

In order to relieve ourselves of burden-

some book-keeping, all subscriptions falling due during November and December will be filled to the end of the year on the existing subscription, and will be renewable at the new rates from January 1, 1967. You can help us a great deal by renewing without waiting for a renewal notice, and by paying subscriptions separately from other accounts.

The new rates are:—

FREEDOM only (per year)

£1 10s. (\$4.50) surface mail

£2 16s. (\$8.00) airmail

ANARCHY only (unchanged) (per year)

£1 6s. (\$3.50) surface mail

£2 7s. (\$7.00) airmail

COMBINED SUBSCRIPTION

FREEDOM & *ANARCHY* (per year)

£2 10s. (\$7.50) surface mail both

£4 15s. (\$12.50) airmail both

FREEDOM (airmail) &

ANARCHY (seamail)

£3 17s. (\$10.50) (per year)

dom

'Indians Told to Share Out Their Food'—*Guardian*

er whom it became
cult to participate
propaganda. This
e he was such a
cient in whatever

Laurie goes back
en the official paci-
o respectable and
m and many other
rking for it. It is
to the integrity of
ent during the last
icifists should have
us and/or written
Commentary, bear-
ugh we were anti-
still unrepentant
e of the collapse
ovement in Spain).
the propagandists
the pacifist move-
e Fredrick Lohr,
ge Woodcock and
am, whose first ar-
ntary, 'Enemies of
in the December
significant that he
ng in terms of the
ed to the class—
of John Pilgrim's
October issue of
by the Working
oded Myth?'), it
hile quoting from
which was written
ago in *FREEDOM'S*

must come when
pel serious thought
, and, in prepara-
on, the direction of
be carefully con-
eans let us expose
tyrannies contained
ut beware of hold-
s to the oppressed
s are not aware of
of political parties
not by intent, work-
ge of oppressors. In
oval of all govern-
ive institutions and
d in its old sense)
let us always be
this can only be
lling acceptance of
by the individual.'
too provocative of
ed now, though it
e complexity of a
Laurie Hislam's.

on, in this writer's
dominated and in-
sense by Fredrick
of outstanding abili-
is fifties when he
ago), a man who,
profitable job as a
at Portland Street,
in' at weekends, to
of the oppressed,
a great personality.
ists were concerned
ever he spoke from
at mass meetings,
e, after his conver-
Church. Laurie

A SO-CALLED GYPSY informed on Harry Roberts and intends to claim the £1,000 reward. The *Express* asserts that Harry Roberts said to Sergeant Peter Smith, 'Please, please, don't shoot me.' This item does not appear in any other paper. Meanwhile the Wooden Shoe Bookshop (42 New Compton Street, W.C.2) has produced a poster saying, 'Thank you Harry Roberts for acting out our Fantasies'.

SEVEN UNIFORMED POLICE CONSTABLES of W Division of the Metropolitan Police have been suspended from duty pending investigations into theft allegations against the officers. The Judge in a case at the Old Bailey will request the Commissioner of Police to investigate the alleged bribery of police-officers (during the case) in order that they would not oppose bail too strongly. It was alleged that £150 was paid and a further £450 'so that the police would not give false evidence'. In a letter in the *Guardian* on behalf of the Council of Civil Liberties, Benedict Birnberg and Cedric Thornberry referring to the recent proposal to make majority verdicts operative on a jury refer to the 'contamination of juries, not only on behalf of the accused, but also on behalf of the police. These latter practices,' they say, 'tend to go unsung: but they are known to the Council and to many lawyers practising in the criminal courts.'

THE PRIME MINISTER (on behalf of the Home Secretary) assured the House of Commons, 'I feel it right to inform the House that there is no tapping of telephone numbers [of MPs], nor has there been since this Government came into office.' The Opposition immediately took umbrage at the innuendo but does not the innuendo apply to the previous (also Labour) Government? Chapman Pincher of the *Daily Express* (who should know) says, 'Most of the tapped phones belong to suspected spies, criminals, Customs evaders, Communists, Fascists and Nuclear Disarmers.' 'He also mentions a figure of 11 million microphones [installed?] waiting to be abused. 'Any relevant parts of the conversations help to swell police files,' says Pincher, 'or the two million dossiers in the registry at M.I.5.' A writer in the *Guardian* states that 'clicks' are no indication of phone tapping, an efficient tapper can eliminate 'clicks'. In fact the *Guardian* reports a well-known political activist who said that one of the benefits of using a tapped (US bugged) phone was that abusive calls were cut off by the monitor. In a cartoon on the phone tapping debate the ex-directory number

propaganda, that they were so critical to one's face and yet such good anarchist propagandists with the non-anarchists?

Long ago this writer had said to Laurie: 'To hell with your politics and your Pope; I love you as you are' and presumably he thought likewise because whenever we met (generally at a demonstration) we were both happy to see each other and exchange notes. It was sad to learn from one of the obituary notices that he had joined the Labour Party

of 10 Downing Street was given (WHI 4433) and the switchboard was flooded with calls, the number has presumably now been changed. However when ringing REN 3736 it would be as well to assume (although this may be delusions of grandeur or persecution-mania on our part) that the phone is tapped so therefore do not say anything which you would not be prepared to stand by, and most important, do not involve third parties. A party of Labour MPs think that phone tapping should be made a criminal offence.

ACCORDING TO *The Times* the vote 'for Her Majesty's foreign and other secret services was increased by £1 million this year to a total of £10 million.' In 1947 it was a mere £2½ million and £7 million in 1960. Purely by coincidence of course, following the events in the last paragraph, the retirement is announced of the head of Scotland Yard's Special Branch on December 6. He was Commander Evan W. Jones who will be succeeded by Detective Chief Superintendent Ferguson G. D. Smith.

THE INTERNATIONAL WAR CRIMES TRIBUNAL sponsored by the Bertrand Russell Peace Foundation called a press conference at the end of the Tribunal's preliminary sitting. Historian Isaac Deutscher 'emphasized', according to the *Morning Star* (on Thursday), that rumours of resignations from the Tribunal were completely unfounded. On the preceding Monday the *Morning Star* printed a 'rumour' (?) that Presidents Kaunda of Zambia and Nyerere of Tanzania have joined President Senghor of Senegal in withdrawing from sponsorship of the Tribunal. Diplomatic pressure by the USA is alleged.

GENERAL FRANCO PROCLAIMED an amnesty for 'political offences' committed during the Spanish Civil War. On Tuesday the *Morning Star* denounced this as 'white-

wash' and points out that Grimau was judicially murdered by military law for crimes allegedly committed during the Civil War. On Wednesday, in the *Morning Star*, Sam Russell commends the Communists in Spain for entering the elections for the Workers' Commissions and says, 'The extent of the victory surpassed even the most optimistic forecasts, and put to shame those Spanish Socialist and Anarchist leaders abroad who preached a policy of abstention, while the Communists, by their work in the factories, ensured a triumph for the Workers' Commissions' candidate.' On Thursday the *Morning Star* reported the Organisation Appeal for Amnesty in Spain that reports of a 'general amnesty' in Spain convey a false impression. The Organisation said it 'by no means puts an end to the political repression which has followed the civil war, nor does it mean there are no political prisoners left in Spain'. The same issue reports a forthcoming trial of six students for having taken part in an illegal meeting. On Saturday the *Morning Star* published under the heading 'Russia Praises Success of Spanish Workers': 'The Soviet Communist Party yesterday sent a telegram to the Spanish Communist Party congratulating it on the "big successes achieved by the working-class of the country in the elections to the official syndicates". The message also noted with satisfaction last Friday's announcement by the Franco authorities of an amnesty for those accused or convicted of political acts against the fascist regime during the Civil War, which it said was a result of the struggles of the Communist and progressive forces of Spain. "We hope," it said, "that this decision of the Government will permit all the exiled Spanish democrats to return home freely". Come home Passionaria, all is forgiven.

JON QUIXOTE.

Cathy Come Home

EVERY now and then, the BBC has a fit of conscience and stages a programme of value rather than the usual crop of addictive rubbish which would seem to be their normal standard.

Such a programme was, in my estimation, Jeremy Sandford's 'Cathy Come Home', screened as one of the Wednesday Play series.

I will not attempt to paraphrase the script now but for those who missed the play it concerned the descent of a family through a succession of varied lodgings until they are forced, through hardship, to enter a home of the old King Hill pattern where, due to the various pressures they are subjected to, the family eventually disintegrates.

The film successfully captures the misery and degradation of a family trapped in the cul-de-sac of existence in this state without property to cushion themselves against sickness and the resulting poverty and praise must go to author Sandford for his honest, perhaps brutal, study of a coercive and inhuman system

and the effect it has on the individual.

The play ends with what is probably its most powerful scene in which Cathy, played extremely well by Carol White, is turned out of the hostel into the streets, ostensibly for having overstayed the time allowed her but in reality for having talked to the press about conditions in the hostel. She is followed out by the welfare officer, who having turned her out of the hostel, then proceeds to take away her children, because they have nowhere to stay, in much the same way as a bailiff would repossess an unpaid television set.

And so the film ends on a note of despondency, if not despair, and one is left wondering if there can be any hope of a full life for everybody in a system which allows such things to go on. I imagine that this is the impression that the author intended to give and as Anarchists we should, I think, be grateful to him for preaching for us.

BILL BENFIELD.

...the working
...Myth?), it
...quoting from
...which was written
...ago in FREEDOM'S

...must come when
...pel serious thought
...and, in preparation
...the direction of
...be carefully con-
...eans let us expose
...tyrannies contained
...not beware of hold-
...s to the oppressed
...s are not aware of
...of political parties
...not by intent, work-
...ge of oppressors. In
...oval of all govern-
...ive institutions and
...d in its old sense)
...let us always be
...this can only be
...illing acceptance of
...by the individual.'
...too provocative of
...sed now, though it
...e complexity of a
...Laurie Hislam's.

...on, in this writer's
...dominated and in-
...sense by Fredrick
...of outstanding abili-
...his fifties when he
...ago), a man who,
...profitable job as a
...at Portland Street,
...in' at weekends, to
...of the oppressed.
...a great personality.
...ists were concerned
...ever he spoke from
...at mass meetings,
...e, after his conver-
...Church. Laurie
...his footsteps; he even
...ting a beard like
...h Lohr and Hislam
...world. Those who
...s outdoor meetings
...lds in the 50's can
...commonsense argu-
...Fredrick countered
...jections to his
...ist point of view.
...as provoking! But
...the point of view of

RD AND e Note

...all subscriptions
...vember and Decem-
...the end of the year
...ription, and will be
...rates from January
...help us a great deal
...t waiting for a re-
...y paying subscrip-
...on other accounts.

...er year)
...urface mail
...irmail
...hanged) (per year)
...urface mail
...rmail
...SCRIPTION
...RCHY (per year)
...urface mail both
...airmail both
... &
...)
... (per year)

THE PRIME MINISTER (on behalf of the Home Secretary) assured the House of Commons, 'I feel it right to inform the House that there is no tapping of telephone numbers [of MPs], nor has there been since this Government came into office.' The Opposition immediately took umbrage at the innuendo but does not the innuendo apply to the previous (also Labour) Government? Chapman Pincher of the *Daily Express* (who should know) says, 'Most of the tapped phones belong to suspected spies, criminals, Customs evaders, Communists, Fascists and Nuclear Disarmers.' He also mentions a figure of 11 million microphones [installed?] waiting to be abused. 'Any relevant parts of the conversations help to swell police files,' says Pincher, 'or the two million dossiers in the registry at M.I.5.' A writer in the *Guardian* states that 'clicks' are no indication of phone tapping, an efficient tapper can eliminate 'clicks'. In fact the *Guardian* reports a well-known political activist who said that one of the benefits of using a tapped (US bugged) phone was that abusive calls were cut off by the monitor. In a cartoon on the phone tapping debate the ex-directory number

propaganda, that they were so critical to one's face and yet such good anarchist propagandists with the non-anarchists?

Long ago this writer had said to Laurie: 'To hell with your politics and your Pope; I love you as you are' and presumably he thought likewise because whenever we met (generally at a demonstration) we were both happy to see each other and exchange notes. It was sad to learn from one of the obituary notices that he had joined the Labour Party but on reflection it probably meant that as a result he would be more critical, publicly, of the Labour Party than of the anarchists. What is really important is that Laurie Hislam was a character, a personality in his own right, who at the same time had no ambitions to dominate. And to the extent that this assessment of his personality is valid, it is difficult to link it to his attachment to Fredrick Lohr who, in this writer's opinion was, on the whole a negative, destructive influence so far as intelligent people were concerned.

Young people don't have to write obituaries. It is a job for the middle-aged, a difficult job, because they are talking about something which is part of them. But if we have anything to communicate to the young people of today it is the spirit of adventure and independence of these friends to whom we have wanted to introduce readers of FREEDOM: an Osvaldo Maraviglia who at the age of 17 crosses the Atlantic, earns his living and at the same time furthers anarchist propaganda not only in the United States but everywhere; a Vittorio Taborelli who, rather than renounce his ideas, chooses exile; a Charles Duff who as a Press Officer in the Foreign Office had a career ensured for him, but prefers 'exile' over the issue of the Spanish Civil War; and... well, how does one explain Laurie? He was the dearest of them all, because this writer feels he knew him more intimately, and as a contemporary. But all of them will be remembered because they contributed something to enrich and justify the life of

V.R.

phased', according to the *Morning Star* (on Thursday) that rumours of resignations from the Tribunal were completely unfounded. On the preceding Monday the *Morning Star* printed a 'rumour' (?) that Presidents Kaunda of Zambia and Nyerere of Tanzania have joined President Senghor of Senegal in withdrawing from sponsorship of the Tribunal. Diplomatic pressure by the USA is alleged.

GENERAL FRANCO PROCLAIMED an amnesty for 'political offences' committed during the Spanish Civil War. On Tuesday the *Morning Star* denounced this as 'white-

also noted with satisfaction last Friday's announcement by the Franco authorities of an amnesty for those accused or convicted of political acts against the fascist regime during the Civil War, which it said was a result of the struggles of the Communist and progressive forces of Spain. "We hope," it said, "that this decision of the Government will permit all the exiled Spanish democrats to return home freely." Come home Passionaria, all is forgiven.

JON QUIXOTE.

Cathy Come Home

EVERY now and then, the BBC has a fit of conscience and stages a programme of value rather than the usual crop of addictive rubbish which would seem to be their normal standard.

Such a programme was, in my estimation, Jeremy Sandford's 'Cathy Come Home', screened as one of the Wednesday Play series.

I will not attempt to paraphrase the script now but for those who missed the play it concerned the descent of a family through a succession of varied lodgings until they are forced, through hardship, to enter a home of the old King Hill pattern where, due to the various pressures they are subjected to, the family eventually disintegrates.

The film successfully captures the misery and degradation of a family trapped in the cul-de-sac of existence in this state without property to cushion themselves against sickness and the resulting poverty and praise must go to author Sandford for his honest, perhaps brutal, study of a coercive and inhuman system

and the effect it has on the individual.

The play ends with what is probably its most powerful scene in which Cathy, played extremely well by Carol White, is turned out of the hostel into the streets, ostensibly for having overstayed the time allowed her but in reality for having talked to the press about conditions in the hostel. She is followed out by the welfare officer, who having turned her out of the hostel, then proceeds to take away her children, because they have nowhere to stay, in much the same way as a bailiff would repossess an unpaid television set.

And so the film ends on a note of despondency, if not despair, and one is left wondering if there can be any hope of a full life for everybody in a system which allows such things to go on. I imagine that this is the impression that the author intended to give and as Anarchists we should, I think, be grateful to him for preaching for us.

BILL BENFIELD.

Indecency in Church—by Whom?

Continued from page 1

that he had left the main body of the church to help bring Nicolas Walter down from the gallery, though he still swore he hadn't left the building. It was more fully explained when he suddenly remembered after being shown some photographs that he had actually been outside for several minutes when Nicolas Walter was arguing with the police. Incidentally, his was the only evidence against Derek Russell and Heather Russell.

The only evidence against Derek Russell and Heather Russell was that of Det.-Sgt. Osborne. The only evidence against Sue Abrahams was that of Donald Lain, who had seen her walking down the church aisle and identified her from some blurred photographs as someone who had been taken out of the church. The only evidence against Bernard Miles was that of Margaret Savage, who had seen him standing up but hadn't been able to hear what he was saying.

The defence case began by submissions that Bernard Miles, Sue Abrahams and Nicolas Walter had no cases to answer, but these were all overruled. Then the defence evidence began on November 16. Of the four people represented by Ben Birnberg, Heather Russell and Derek Russell gave evidence for themselves, while Bernard Miles and Meg Walsh will not be giving evidence. Heather and Derek both stated on oath that they had not taken part in the interruptions of the second lesson, though they had argued with church stewards and Labour Party

delegates who attacked the interruptors and had protested when they were taken out of the church.

This week evidence should be given by the four people who are defending themselves and by defence witnesses—including Donald Soper at 3 p.m. on Tuesday. After that there will be the closing speeches by the defending solicitor and by the self-defenders. A notice of appeal has already been prepared for the expected conviction of all the demonstrators, so the case will be going on for a long time yet.

One reason why the case has gone on so long already is that it is being heard by lay not stipendiary magistrates—i.e. unpaid part-time magistrates who are not qualified lawyers and only sit on one or two days a week, rather than paid full-time magistrates who are qualified lawyers and sit every day of the week. Another is that every witness has been liable to be questioned by six people—the prosecuting and defending solicitors and the four who are defending themselves. Yet another is that dozens of photographs have been put in evidence by both sides. And a final one is that ever since the trial began the demonstrators have been treated with extreme courtesy and leniency, and have therefore been able to say a great many things that would not normally be admissible. The trial should come to an end within a couple of weeks now.

Don't forget the appeal fund: Felicity Crump, Glynleigh Farm, Pevensy, Sussex.

TUC & Employers Gang Up

IF THE LABOUR Government cannot claim anything else, it can claim that it can run capitalism better than the Tories. Certainly capitalism needed a Labour Government to get it out of its crisis and one that would 'get tough' with the trade unions. The Tories might have preached this, but would have been unable to achieve the comparatively smooth transformation that has taken place in trade unions over the question of free collective bargaining and an enforced wage freeze.

When *The Economist* told its readers in 1964 to vote for a Wilson Government, it was right for it knew that this Government, because of the special relationship between the Labour Party and the trade union leadership, would be able to bring in a statutory incomes policy. Of course those who control industry in our society are wary of Government intervention. Their attitude has always been that 'if we can get along without you, we will'. Nevertheless they have at all times been quick to ask for any assistance when the going got tough for them.

Workers and their trade unions have, of course, done the same, but now the Party that they brought into being is in fact destroying free trade unionism. However the trade union leadership do not see it this way. They see themselves as part of the leadership of the country, serving the interests of the country. This leadership might have come

from the working class, but now it is part of and serving the interests of the ruling class.

'MUST GOVERN'

When the Labour Party won the General Election in 1964, Wilson and his Ministers promised a new industrial revolution, one of efficiency and technological advance. British industry had to be strengthened and streamlined and this is precisely what the Government's present economic measures are intended to do. Wilson told the TUC General Council to 'accept the incomes policy or have two million unemployed'. They did accept; they might have had regrets, but they have gone along with the Government. They also accepted that the Labour Party 'must govern' a capitalist system, and from this acceptance follows the fact that they will comply with the plans of the Government.

The TUC General Council seems, in fact, more intent on taking a hand in this governing. The Economic Committee of the TUC is to recommend to the General Council that they draw up annual wage 'norms' after the conclusion of the period of severe restraint in July. They also propose that there should be regular consultations between themselves and the Confederation of British Industry. Both of these would have to be more centralised, and have more control over the constituent bodies.

The coming together of the TUC and CBI closely resembles what has already happened in Sweden. The reasons for it are probably the same. Mr. A. J. Stephen Brown, President of the CBI, has suggested, 'But of one thing I am convinced — that unless between us (TUC and CBI) we can devise some workable alternative, assuredly the Govern-

ment will step in and justify a continuance of control over matters which should essentially be the responsibility of industry.' Both are prepared to work together for fear of State intervention and control and wish to see an end to free individual union wage bargaining. They want to provide the 'norms' and to do away with the industrial disputes that now take place.

This type of 'voluntary' regulation of wage increases will be of even more importance if Britain is to enter the Common Market. Competition will be strong and a regulation of wage levels will be an asset for those competing in the Market. Mr. Wilson said at the Lord Mayor's banquet that British industry should emerge strengthened and streamlined from the present economic difficulties. But in order to do this there must be increased investment. 'For years,' he said, 'we have lagged behind Europe in the proportion of our national income devoted to productive investment. We have to rid ourselves of the destructive doctrine that when times are good we do not have to modernise and invest, and when times are bad we cannot afford to.'

Investors are, after all, only interested in the return and appreciation on their capital and are therefore cautious. When a good stock comes on the market, like the recent Imperial Chemical Industries' loan stock, then they are ready to oversubscribe too. Mr. Wilson is trying to instil confidence. More investment is needed to modernise industry and to take advantage of the slack capacity of labour and machinery.

ON THE DEFENSIVE

Where do working people fit into all this? The measures taken by the Government have weakened the power of the workers and their organisations. With 700,000 expected to be out of work by the end of the year, the struggle now is a defensive one of maintaining employment. Employers have taken the opportunity to attack the union organisation and their shop stewards. Where these attacks are successful, the management will reassert their full prerogative to manage. Even the trade union leaders who oppose the Government's policies have been unwilling to call any really effective opposition. Isolated official support and court actions are not going to smash the wage freeze or the statutory powers.

The power of organised labour could do this but Cousins and

Contact Column

This column exists for mutual aid. Donations towards cost of typesetting will be welcome.

Libertarian Teachers' Association. Meeting to discuss organisation/future activities/ publications, 7.30 p.m. Friday, November 25, 5 Caledonian Road, N.1.

Accommodation. Twenty-year-old girl with five-month-old baby wants accommodation (with or without work) where she could take care of baby. Box 41.

Vietnam. Open-air Protest Meetings held every Saturday in North Hanover Street, Glasgow at 3 p.m. Glasgow Anarchist Group and USM platform. All libertarians warmly invited to

Of First Importance

Dear Brothers,

Every now and then well-intentioned persons associated with anarchism get upset with its semantics. Some dislike the very name 'anarchy' (it gives offence to others) and want to use other

For W

NOVEMBER 20

Anot
Part

STEWART has Part IV of the Act, he has signed pay increases, which place. He has pre under a different set employers from co workers increases th as Thorn Electric a cost-of-living bonus.

On September 27 Greenford, agreed ance engineers an hour, when working conditions in the furnaces. Shop st maintain that this not come under th have, therefore, en refuse to do 'hot w weeks. Staff worke necessary essential management claim ing is not resume face the possibility.

This is a classic e ness of the Wage l have negotiated management and a vened. This is the tion to the Wage ments have been blatantly broken b vention.

The 25,000 print paper Section have living bonus frozen 2s. is not very mu bother, but this is The cost of living than 2s. in any case.

The Newspaper I have stated public honour the 'cost-of nevertheless, com slapped on the c soon shrank when After all it is their.

in a statutory incomes policy. Of course those who control industry in our society are wary of Government intervention. Their attitude has always been that 'if we can get along without you, we will'. Nevertheless they have at all times been quick to ask for any assistance when the going got tough for them.

Workers and their trade unions have, of course, done the same, but now the Party that they brought into being is in fact destroying free trade unionism. However the trade union leadership do not see it this way. They see themselves as part of the leadership of the country, serving the interests of the country. This leadership might have come

Contact Column

This column exists for mutual aid. Donations towards cost of typesetting will be welcome.

Libertarian Teachers' Association. Meeting to discuss organisation/future activities/ publications, 7.30 p.m. Friday, November 25, 5 Caledonian Road, N.1.

Accommodation. Twenty-year-old girl with five-month-old baby wants accommodation (with or without work) where she could take care of baby. Box 41.

Vietnam. Open-air Protest Meetings held every Saturday in North Hanover Street, Glasgow at 3 p.m. Glasgow Anarchist Group and USM platform. All libertarian groups invited to attend and participate.

Accommodation. Young couple (with two small sons) urgently need 3-room s.c. flat at reasonable rent. Willing to decorate, baby mind, help in house, garden, etc. Box 42.

Soho Football Club. Footballers needed for Sunday mornings. Contact Harry Marsh, TUD 1615. Evenings.

Accommodation. Martin and Sue Gilbert seek unfurnished accommodation in Greater London area — consider sharing — phone PARK 4701.

Accommodation wanted. Tourist accommodation wanted. Australian woman, travelling in Europe needs CHEAP accommodation in London for approximately four weeks in February, 1967. Prefer relatively central location. Would also like companion for travels in March. Box 43.

Sleeping Accommodation Wanted. Three libertarian Dutchmen want tolerant London accommodation for a few days Dec-Jan. Cheap. Albert Rynberg, Casa Academica, Room 652, Amsterdam, Netherlands.

Concord Theatre Group. 'A Night Out' (Pinter) and a revue both directed by Shirley Newman. Wednesday 30th November, Thursday 1st December, 7.30 p.m. Kingsway College, Sidmouth Street, W.C.1. 5/-. Students 2/6.

Accommodation: Bristol anarchist sympathiser, studious, responsible, teetotaler, needs bedsitter in Bristol. Clifton, Redlands, Hotwell area preferred. Large house. Box No. 43.

If you wish to make contact let us know.

capitalist system, and from this acceptance follows the fact that they will comply with the plans of the Government.

The TUC General Council seems, in fact, more intent on taking a hand in this governing. The Economic Committee of the TUC is to recommend to the General Council that they draw up annual wage 'norms' after the conclusion of the period of severe restraint in July. They also propose that there should be regular consultations between themselves and the Confederation of British Industry. Both of these would have to be more centralised, and have more control over the constituent bodies.

The coming together of the TUC and CBI closely resembles what has already happened in Sweden. The reasons for it are probably the same. Mr. A. J. Stephen Brown, President of the CBI, has suggested, 'But of one thing I am convinced — that unless between us (TUC and CBI) we can devise some workable alternative, assuredly the Govern-

Of First Importance

Dear Brothers,

Every now and then well-intentioned persons associated with anarchism get upset with its semantics. Some dislike the very name 'anarchy' (it gives offence to others!) and want us to use other terms. Some, like Francis Ellingham in *Anarchy* 63, want us to cease using the word 'society', replacing it with 'milieu'.

Now, 'Workers' Control' has upset Peter E. Newell. He tells us that we 'can still support working-class militancy and the class struggle without it'. The latter, as pursued by our unions today, consists of seeking higher wages and improved conditions for the workers—commendable goals in themselves but purely reformist also. The workers' position in society (as a paid slave) remains unchanged.

Colin Ward in his excellent article in *Anarchy* 62, 'Anarchism as a theory of organisation', quotes Stafford Beer's *Cybernetics and Management*: 'Our whole concept of control is naive, primitive . . . control to most people (and what a reflection this is upon a sophisticated society!) is a crude process of coercion.'

Workers' control, in terms of participation by the worker in the control of his own life at work, is obviously an anarchist activity of the first importance. Admittedly there are dangers of non-anarchist workers thus functioning as capitalists but even this would be an improvement on the present position. Even in an anarchist society—which some utopians seem to think will come without organisation, sacrifice, and steady social change—workers' control (the term, then, would probably have lapsed) would only be one manifestation of the anarchist principle of individual autonomy and self-organisation.

Fraternally,

N.S.W., Australia

BILL DWYER.

rid ourselves of the destructive doctrine that when times are good we do not have to modernise and invest, and when times are bad we cannot afford to.'

Investors are, after all, only interested in the return and appreciation on their capital and are therefore cautious. When a good stock comes on the market, like the recent Imperial Chemical Industries' loan stock, then they are ready to oversubscribe too. Mr. Wilson is trying to instil confidence. More investment is needed to modernise industry and to take advantage of the slack capacity of labour and machinery.

ON THE DEFENSIVE

Where do working people fit into all this? The measures taken by the Government have weakened the power of the workers and their organisations. With 700,000 expected to be out of work by the end of the year, the struggle now is a defensive one of maintaining employment. Employers have taken the opportunity to attack the union organisation and their shop stewards. Where these attacks are successful, the management will reassert their full prerogative to manage. Even the trade union leaders who oppose the Government's policies have been unwilling to call any really effective opposition. Isolated official support and court actions are not going to smash the wage freeze or the statutory powers.

The power of organised labour could do this, but Cousins and Jenkins are both afraid of the consequences of action of this sort. If any industrial action did start and spread, it might prove difficult for the trade union bureaucrats to contain. The initiative would soon pass into the hands of the rank and file. So far the main impulse for direct action has come from them, but if workers are going to maintain their wages, conditions and shop floor organisation, only direct action can do it. It is no good relying on the trade union leadership or politicians. Only the efforts of those directly affected will ensure that these policies of the Government are defeated.

P.T.

SWF Conference

A WELL ATTENDED conference of the Syndicalist Workers' Federation unanimously supported their comrades under persecution in Spain, Bulgaria and now in the Argentine. The Eighth National Conference raised £24 towards the defence of the five members of the FIJL arrested recently in Madrid (FREEDOM, 12.11.66). Most of the conference business was of the nature of an internal discussion, but all delegates agreed that the Prices and Incomes Bill should be smashed and co-operation will be sought on this issue with other liber-

Act, he has signed pay increases, which place. He has put under a different employers from co-workers increases t as Thorn Electric cost-of-living bonus

On September 2 Greenford, agreed ance engineers an hour, when working conditions in the furnaces. Shop maintain that this not come under have, therefore refuse to do 'hot weeks. Staff work necessary essential management claiming is not resume face the possibility

This is a classic ness of the Wage have negotiated management and a vened. This is the tion to the Wage ments have been blatantly broken vention.

The 25,000 print paper Section have living bonus frozen 2s. is not very mu bother, but this i The cost of living than 2s. in any case

The Newspaper have stated public honour the 'cost-of nevertheless, com slapped on the soon shrank when After all it is their

SHIPYARD WOR

Fairfields is the has been run for as a trade-union enterprise consorti show piece of Management and for the good of al industrial trouble building workers a a choice ten mon sell the 'Rule Book the latter. Three apprentices struck their demand for ductivity agreeme working tradesme Boilermakers Ama gate maintained th with the manage

tarians. The new is Joan Christoph the National Com of provincial con certain responsibility regard to the orga Action. The dele with the Manches agreed to hold th at the same plac out that money an for the defence comrades for all ments in Britain.

Employers

g class, but now it
serving the interests

N
our Party won the
in 1964, Wilson
s promised a new
tion, one of effi-
nological advance.
y had to be
streamlined and
what the Govern-
economic measures
o. Wilson told the
uncil to 'accept the
r have two million
ey did accept; they
regrets, but they
with the Govern-
accepted that the
'must govern' a
and from this
s the fact that they
the plans of the

eral Council seems.
nt on taking a hand
g. The Economic
TUC is to recom-
neral Council that
annual wage 'norms'
on of the period of
in July. They also
e should be regular
between themselves
eration of British
of these would have
tralised, and have
er the constituent

gether of the TUC
resembles what has
d in Sweden. The
probably the same.
n Brown, President
suggested, 'But of
convinced — that
s (TUC and CBI)
some workable
redly the Govern-

t
ance

then well-intentioned
with anarchism get
antics. Some dislike
rchy' (it gives offence
ent us to use other

ment will step in and justify a con-
tinuance of control over matters
which should essentially be the
responsibility of industry.' Both are
prepared to work together for fear
of State intervention and control and
wish to see an end to free individual
union wage bargaining. They want
to provide the 'norms' and to do
away with the industrial disputes
that now take place.

This type of 'voluntary' regulation
of wage increases will be of even
more importance if Britain is to
enter the Common Market. Compe-
tition will be strong and a regulation
of wage levels will be an asset for
those competing in the Market. Mr.
Wilson said at the Lord Mayor's
banquet that British industry should
emerge strengthened and streamlined
from the present economic diffi-
culties. But in order to do this
there must be increased investment.
'For years,' he said, 'we have lagged
behind Europe in the proportion of
our national income devoted to
'productive investment. We have to
rid ourselves of the destructive
doctrine that when times are good
we do not have to modernise and
invest, and when times are bad we
cannot afford to.'

Investors are, after all, only
interested in the return and apprecia-
tion on their capital and are therefore
cautious. When a good stock comes
on the market, like the recent
Imperial Chemical Industries' loan
stock, then they are ready to over-
subscribe too. Mr. Wilson is
trying to instil confidence. More
investment is needed to modernise
industry and to take advantage of
the slack capacity of labour and
machinery.

ON THE DEFENSIVE

Where do working people fit into
all this? The measures taken by
the Government have weakened the
power of the workers and their
organisations. With 700,000 expected
to be out of work by the end of the
year, the struggle now is a defensive
one of maintaining employment.
Employers have taken the opportu-
nity to attack the union organisation
and their shop stewards. Where
these attacks are successful, the
management will reassert their full
prerogative to manage. Even the
trade union leaders who oppose the
Government's policies have been
unwilling to call any really effective
opposition. Isolated official support
and court actions are not going to
smash the wage freeze or the
statutory powers.

The power of organised labour
could do this, but Cousins and

Freedom For Workers' Control

NOVEMBER 26 1966 Vol 27 No 37

Another Para of Part IV in Action

STEWART has used a new section of
Part IV of the Prices and Incomes
Act, he has signed an order to prevent
pay increases, which have not yet taken
place. He has previously made orders,
under a different section, which stopped
employers from continuing to pay their
workers increases they had received, such
as Thorn Electric and the print workers'
cost-of-living bonus.

On September 27, Rockware Glass, at
Greenford, agreed to pay their mainten-
ance engineers an increase of 4½d. an
hour, when working in exceedingly hot
conditions in the areas around the
furnaces. Shop stewards at the plant
maintain that this type of payment did
not come under the Wage Freeze, and
have, therefore, encouraged the men to
refuse to do 'hot work' for the past two
weeks. Staff workers have carried out the
necessary essential maintenance. The
management claim that, if normal work-
ing is not resumed, they may have to
face the possibility of closing the plant.

This is a classic example of the vicious-
ness of the Wage Freeze, where workers
have negotiated an agreement with
management and a third party has inter-
vened. This is the whole basis of opposi-
tion to the Wage Freeze, where agree-
ments have been made, and then been
blatantly broken by Government inter-
vention.

The 25,000 print workers in the News-
paper Section have had their 2s. cost-of-
living bonus frozen. Many will cry that
2s. is not very much and not worth the
bother, but this is just plain stupidity.
The cost of living has risen far more
than 2s. in any case.

The Newspaper Proprietors Association
have stated publicly that they wished to
honour the 'cost-of-living agreement' but,
nevertheless, complied when Stewart
slapped on the order. Their honour
soon shrunk when faced with the Law.
After all it is their protection.

that benefits for the apprentices would
follow on as a matter of course.

The management in their reply are
flashing the new Apprentices' Charter
which, they claim, will benefit the ap-
prentices more than their inclusion in the
productivity agreement. The boilermakers
and their apprentices do not accept the
position of 'one and not the other'. At
one stage the boilermakers decided on a
token stoppage in support of the
apprentices, but this was called off about
a week before it was due to take place,
but to date the apprentices are continuing
their strike.

Workers at Fairfields have the threat of
closure hanging over their heads all the
time. It is claimed that a contract with
Norway is in the balance unless 'no strike'
guarantees given earlier in the year by
the unions will be honoured. It is also
claimed that a Royal Navy order was
lost because Fairfields' chairman felt
unable to promise delivery within four
years. The 'no strike' guarantee is a
millstone around the workers' necks.
They have no other weapon with which
to fight. Take away the right of 'with-
drawal of labour', and the employer can
do what he likes, how he likes, when he
likes. Joint consultation at Fairfields
is a farce, the management can make
demands backed up by the threat of
closure. The workers, for their part,
have nothing—they have handed over
the only weapon they had.

FREEDOM OF ASSOCIATION

A clerical worker at a Cardiff steel-
works got the sack for distributing trade-
union leaflets. The district secretary of
the Clerical and Administrative Workers'
Union stated that they had 150 members
in the plant and were trying to interest
the other 450. Brian Evans, the worker
concerned, dished out the leaflets in his
own time. The leaflet was of a very
revolutionary character—it was advertis-
ing a meeting. The personnel

from this fact that they the plans of the
eral Council seems, on taking a hand
g. The Economic TUC is to recom-
neral Council that annual wage 'norms'
on of the period of n July. They also e should be regular
between themselves
eration of British of these would have
tralised, and have
ver the constituent
ether of the TUC
esembles what has
d in Sweden. The
probably the same.
n Brown, President
suggested, 'But of
convinced — that
s (TUC and CBI)
some workable
redly the Govern-

t ance

then well-intentioned
with anarchism get
antics. Some dislike
rchy' (it gives offence
ant us to use other
Francis Ellingham in
us to cease using the
acing it with 'milieu'.
Control' has upset
He tells us that we
orking-class militancy
gle without it'. The
by our unions today,
g higher wages and
s for the workers—
s in themselves but
also. The workers'
(as a paid slave) re-

is excellent article in
chism as a theory of
tes Stafford Beer's
Management: 'Our
ontrol is naive, primi-
to most people (and
is is upon a sophisti-
a crude process of

in terms of partici-
ter in the control of
rk, is obviously an
of the first importance.
re dangers of non-
thus functioning as
this would be an
the present position.
chist society—which
a to think will come
s, sacrifice, and steady
orkers' control (the
probably have lapsed)
manifestation of the
of individual auto-
nisation.
rnally,

BILL DWYER.

rid ourselves of the destructive
doctrine that when times are good
we do not have to modernise and
invest, and when times are bad we
cannot afford to.'

Investors are, after all, only
interested in the return and apprecia-
tion on their capital and are therefore
cautious. When a good stock comes
on the market, like the recent
Imperial Chemical Industries' loan
stock, then they are ready to over-
subscribe too. Mr. Wilson is
trying to instil confidence. More
investment is needed to modernise
industry and to take advantage of
the slack capacity of labour and
machinery.

ON THE DEFENSIVE

Where do working people fit into
all this? The measures taken by
the Government have weakened the
power of the workers and their
organisations. With 700,000 expected
to be out of work by the end of the
year, the struggle now is a defensive
one of maintaining employment.
Employers have taken the opportu-
nity to attack the union organisation
and their shop stewards. Where
these attacks are successful, the
management will reassert their full
prerogative to manage. Even the
trade union leaders who oppose the
Government's policies have been
unwilling to call any really effective
opposition. Isolated official support
and court actions are not going to
smash the wage freeze or the
statutory powers.

The power of organised labour
could do this, but Cousins and
Jenkins are both afraid of the
consequences of action of this sort.
If any industrial action did start and
spread, it might prove difficult for
the trade union bureaucrats to
contain. The initiative would soon
pass into the hands of the rank and
file. So far the main impulse for
direct action has come from them,
but if workers are going to maintain
their wages, conditions and shop
floor organisation, only direct action
can do it. It is no good relying on
the trade union leadership or politi-
cians. Only the efforts of those
directly affected will ensure that
these policies of the Government are
defeated.

P.T.

SWF Conference

A WELL ATTENDED conference of
the Syndicalist Workers' Federation
unanimously supported their comrades
under persecution in Spain, Bulgaria and
now in the Argentine. The Eighth
National Conference raised £24 towards
the defence of the five members of the
FIJL arrested recently in Madrid
(FREEDOM, 12.11.66). Most of the con-
ference business was of the nature of an
internal discussion, but all delegates
agreed that the Prices and Incomes Bill
should be smashed and co-operation will
be sought on this issue with other liber-

Act, he has signed an order to prevent
pay increases, which have not yet taken
place. He has previously made orders,
under a different section, which stopped
employers from continuing to pay their
workers increases they had received, such
as Thorn Electric and the print workers'
cost-of-living bonus.

On September 27, Rockware Glass, at
Greenford, agreed to pay their mainten-
ance engineers an increase of 4½d. an
hour, when working in exceedingly hot
conditions in the areas around the
furnaces. Shop stewards at the plant
maintain that this type of payment did
not come under the Wage Freeze, and
have, therefore, encouraged the men to
refuse to do 'hot work' for the past two
weeks. Staff workers have carried out the
necessary essential maintenance. The
management claim that, if normal work-
ing is not resumed, they may have to
face the possibility of closing the plant.

This is a classic example of the vicious-
ness of the Wage Freeze, where workers
have negotiated an agreement with
management and a third party has inter-
vened. This is the whole basis of opposi-
tion to the Wage Freeze, where agree-
ments have been made, and then been
blatantly broken by Government inter-
vention.

The 25,000 print workers in the News-
paper Section have had their 2s. cost-of-
living bonus frozen. Many will cry that
2s. is not very much and not worth the
bother, but this is just plain stupidity.
The cost of living has risen far more
than 2s. in any case.

The Newspaper Proprietors Association
have stated publicly that they wished to
honour the 'cost-of-living agreement' but,
nevertheless, complied when Stewart
slapped on the order. Their honour
soon shrank when faced with the Law.
After all it is their protection.

SHIPYARD WORKERS DISARMED

Fairfields is the Clyde shipyard which
has been run for the last ten months
as a trade-union-Government-private-
enterprise consortium. It was to be the
show piece of British shipbuilding.
Management and worker acting together
for the good of all, no more disputes or
industrial trouble of any kind. Ship-
building workers at Fairfields were given
a choice ten months ago: the 'sack' or
sell the 'Rule Book', it was decided to do
the latter. Three weeks ago boilermaker
apprentices struck work in support of
their demand for inclusion in a pro-
ductivity agreement affecting iron and
working tradesmen in the yard. The
Boilermakers Amalgamation district dele-
gate maintained that in all negotiations
with the management they were assured

The management in their reply are
flashing the new Apprentices' Charter
which, they claim, will benefit the ap-
prentices more than their inclusion in the
productivity agreement. The boilermakers
and their apprentices do not accept the
position of 'one and not the other'. At
one stage the boilermakers decided on a
token stoppage in support of the
apprentices, but this was called off about
a week before it was due to take place,
but to date the apprentices are continuing
their strike.

Workers at Fairfields have the threat of
closure hanging over their heads all the
time. It is claimed that a contract with
Norway is in the balance unless 'no strike'
guarantees given earlier in the year by
the unions will be honoured. It is also
claimed that a Royal Navy order was
lost because Fairfields' chairman felt
unable to promise delivery within four
years. The 'no strike' guarantee is a
millstone around the workers' necks.
They have no other weapon with which
to fight. Take away the right of 'with-
drawal of labour', and the employer can
do what he likes, how he likes, when he
likes. Joint consultation at Fairfields
is a farce, the management can make
demands backed up by the threat of
closure. The workers, for their part,
have nothing—they have handed over
the only weapon they had.

FREEDOM OF ASSOCIATION

A clerical worker at a Cardiff steel-
works got the sack for distributing trade-
union leaflets. The district secretary of
the Clerical and Administrative Workers'
Union stated that they had 150 members
in the plant and were trying to interest
the other 450. Brian Evans, the worker
concerned, dished out the leaflets in his
own time. The leaflet was of a very
revolutionary character—it was advertis-
ing a union meeting. The personnel
manager refused to discuss the matter.
The company headquarters said it was a
labour problem for the local company
to handle. The union is to fight for
Evans's reinstatement.

For some employers, to have their
clerical workers organised means the
day of bloody revolution is near. They
prefer the 'company staff' system, whereby
workers and management can discuss the
staff Christmas party and, if they disagree,
they can all stamp their feet with no
great harm done.

THE LAW GRINDS EXCEEDING SLOW

Thirty-nine workers at the Square Grip
factory in Lanarkshire have been on
official dispute for eight months. The
company secured an interim interdict
preventing the extension of the dispute.
The court case dealing with this matter
will not be heard until May, 1967, 13
months after the dispute began. Details
of the dispute have been covered by
P.T. in previous issues of FREEDOM.

The basic argument is the desire of
the workers for full trade-union recogni-
tion by the management. The manage-
ment claim that it has no objection to
its workers belonging to a union. That is
all very democratic until the union
attempts to improve the workers' lot—
then trade-union recognition is con-
veniently forgotten. The T&GWU
cannot allow this to develop into a
miserable defeat, as happened with the
bleachers' and dyers' dispute, in Yorkshire,
last year. Eight months is a long time
to struggle to gain a defeat.

BILL CHRISTOPHER.

H.H.